MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

HORSE RACING BOARD

In the Matter of:
Regular Meeting

ALAMEDA COUNTY FAIRGROUNDS

PLEASANTON SATELLITE WAGERING FACILITY

4501 PLEASANTON AVENUE

PLEASANTON, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, JUNE 21, 2018

10:00 A.M.

Reported by:

Julie Link

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Chuck Winner, Chair

Madeline Auerbach, Vice Chair

Jesse Choper, Commissioner

Alex Solis, Commissioner

Araceli Ruano, Commissioner

Fred Mass, Commissioner

STAFF

Rick Baedeker, Executive Director

Jacqueline Wagner, Assistant Executive Director

John McDonough, Chief Counsel

Robert Brodnick, Staff Counsel

Phil Laird, Former Staff Counsel

Mike Marten, Associate Analyst

Rick Arthur, Equine Medical Director

Francisco Gonzalez

ALSO PRESENT

John Valenzuela, Pari-Mutuel Employees Local 280

Julie-Lynn Rupp, San Mateo Labor Council

Dana Stoehr, San Mateo Jockey Club

Josh Rubenstein, Del Mar Thoroughbred Club

Eric Sindler, Stronach Group and Pacific Racing Association II

APPEARANCES

ALSO PRESENT

Becky Bartling, Sonoma County Fairgrounds

James Morgan, Humboldt County Fair

Richard Conway, Humboldt County Fair

Greg Avioli, Thoroughbred Owners of California

Elizabeth Morey, Thoroughbred Owners of California

Rick Pickering, California Exposition and State Fair

George Haines, SCOTWINC

Alan Balch, California Thoroughbred Trainers

iv

INDEX

PAGE

3

Closed Session:

- 1. Closed Session: For the purpose of receiving advice from counsel, considering pending litigation, reaching decisions on administrative licensing and disciplinary hearings, and personnel matters, as authorized by section 11126 of the Government Code.
 - A. The Board may convene a Closed Session to confer with and receive advice from its legal counsel regarding the pending litigation described in the attachment to this agenda captioned "Pending Litigation," and as authorized by Government Code section 11126(e).
 - B. The Board may convene a Closed Session to confer with and receive advice from its legal counsel regarding the pending administrative licensing or disciplinary matters described in the attachment to this agenda captioned "Pending Administrative Adjudications," as authorized by Government Code section 11126(e).
 - C. The Board may convene a Closed Session for

7.7

INDEX

PAGE

Closed Session:

the purposes of considering personnel matters as authorized by Government Code section 11126(a).

- 2. Approval of the minutes of April 19, 2017.
- 3. Approval of the minutes of May 24 , 2017. 5
- 4. Executive Director's Report. 6
- 5. Public Comment: Communications, reports, requests 7
 for future actions of the Board. Note: Persons
 addressing the Board under this item will be
 restricted to three (3) minutes for their
 presentations.
- 6. Discussion and action by the Board regarding 11 the distribution of race day charity proceeds of the Del Mar Thoroughbred Club's 2017 summer race meeting in the amount of \$48,234, to a beneficiary of this race meeting.
- 7. Discussion and action by the Board regarding 11 the distribution of race day charity proceeds of the Del Mar Thoroughbred Club's 2017 fall race meeting in the amount of \$12,329, to a

INDEX

PAGE

Action Items:

beneficiary of this race meeting.

- 8. Discussion and action by the Board regarding 11 the distribution of race day charity proceeds of the Del Mar Thoroughbred Club's 2017 Breeders' Cup Race Days in the amount of \$35,25, to a Beneficiary of this race meeting.
- 9. Discussion and action by the Board regarding 14 the distribution of race day charity proceeds of the Pacific Racing Association DBA Golden Gate Fields in the amount of \$52,052, to eight beneficiaries.
- 10. Discussion and action by the Board regarding the
 distribution of race day charity proceeds of the
 Watcheandwager.com, LLC 2016/2017 race meeting
 in the amount of \$4,000, to five beneficiaries.
- 11. Discussion and action by the Board on the

 Application to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of

 Sonoma County Fair (F) at Santa Rosa, commencing

 August 1, 2018 through August 14, 2018, inclusive.

vii

26

INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>

Action Items:

- 12. Discussion and action by the Board on the

 Application for License to Conduct a Horse

 Racing Meeting of the Humboldt County Fair (F)

 at Ferndale, commencing August 15, 2018 through

 August 28, 2018, inclusive.
- 13. Discussion and action by the Board on the
 Application for License to Conduct a Horse
 Racing Meeting of the Pacific Racing
 Association II (T) at Golden Gate Fields,
 commencing August 22, 2018 through
 October 2, 2018 inclusive.
- 14. Discussion and action by the Board on the
 renewal Application for License to Operate a
 Minisatellite Wagering Facility by ORG LLC dba
 Original Roadhouse Grill, in Santa Maria, for
 a period of up to five years.
- 15. Public hearing and action by the Board regarding 58 the proposed addition of CHRB Rules 1859.1,

 Out-of-Competition Testing Procedures and

 Requirements, and 1869, Prohibited Drug Substance in Out-of-Competition Testing; and the proposed

INDEX

PAGE

Action Items:

amendment to CHRB Rules 1858, Test Sample required; 1859, Taking, Testing and Reporting of Samples; 1859.25, Split Sample Testing; 1867, Prohibited Veterinary Practices, to incorporate the Association of Racing Commissioners International (ARCI) model rule for out-ofcompetition testing into the CHRB's rules and regulations. (Note: This concludes the 45-day public comment period. The Board may adopt the proposal as presented.)

Discussion and action by the Board on the 112 16. approval of the Fiscal Year 2018/2019 Agreement Providing funding support for the Board.

PROCEEDINGS

9:49 A.M.

PLEASANTON, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, JUNE 21, 2018

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Ladies and Gentlemen, this meeting of the California Horse Racing Board will come to order. Please take your seats. This is the regular noticed meeting of the California Horse Racing Board on Thursday, June 21st, 2018 at the Alameda County Fairgrounds, Pleasanton, California.

Present at today's meeting are: Myself, Chairman Chuck Winner; Vice Chairman, Madeline Auerbach; Jesse Choper, Commissioner; Fred Mass, Commissioner; Araceli Ruano, Commissioner; Alex Solis, Commissioner.

Before we go on to the business of the meeting, I need to make a few comments. The Board invites public comment on matters appearing on the meeting agenda. The Board also invites comments from those present today on matters not appearing on the agenda during a public comment period if the matter concerns horse racing in the state of California.

In order to ensure all individuals have an opportunity to speak and the meeting proceeds in a timely fashion, I'll strictly enforce the three-minute time limit rule for each speaker. The three-minute time limit will be

enforced during discussion of all matters as stated on the agenda, as well as during the public comment period.

There's a public comment sign-in sheet for each agenda matter on which the Board invites comments. Also, there's a sign-in sheet for those wishing to speak during the public comment period for matters not on the Board's agenda if it concerns horse racing in California. Please print your name legibly on the public comment sign-in sheet.

when a matter is open for public comment, your name will be called. Please come to the podium. Introduce yourself by stating your name and organization clearly. This is necessary for the court reporter to have a clear record of all who speak. When your three minutes are up I'll ask you to return to your seat so others can be heard.

When all the names have been called the Chairman will ask if there is anyone else who would like to speak on the matter before the Board. Also, the Board may ask questions on individuals who speak on any item. If a speaker repeats himself or herself, I'll ask if the speaker has anything new to say. If there are not -- if there is not, I'll ask the speaker to let others make comments to the Board.

With that, we will, what would we call it, recess? EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: We will recess to an Executive

```
Meeting, as was stated on the agenda. And we will reconvene
   no later than 11:15; is that correct?
 2
 3
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                           11:15.
                                                    It might be
   earlier.
 4
 5
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: No later than 11:15.
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: And, folks, we are
 6
 7
   going to be using this room, so if you wouldn't mind --
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: I think we're --
 8
 9
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: No, we're staying
10
   here.
11
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Oh, we changed it?
12
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Yes.
13
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay.
14
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Yeah.
15
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Out.
                                             (Laughs.)
    everybody could leave and go into the other room, we're
16
17
    going to be staying here.
18
         (Whereupon the Board recessed into Closed Session from
19
    9:52 a.m., until 11:19 a.m.)
20
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you all for being here,
21
    and thank you for understanding that we went into Executive
22
    Session. And under the rules, that's the way we had to do
2.3
        So we will now move on with the agenda.
24
              Let's start with the approval of the minutes from
25
   the April 19th meeting. I was not at that meeting, so I
```

1	will abstain.
2	So can we have a motion? Are there additions or
3	corrections to the minutes?
4	MR. SINDLER: Good morning. Eric Sindler on
5	behalf of Pacific Racing Association II.
6	We have submitted our Application to Conduct a
7	Race Meet.
8	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Move.
9	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis moves
10	approval of the April 19th minutes.
11	COMMISSIONER MASS: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Mass seconds.
13	Commissioner Mass, how do you vote?
14	COMMISSIONER MASS: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
16	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Were you at that meeting, Jesse?
18	COMMISSIONER CHOPER: No. Abstain.
19	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. I, Chairman, abstains.
20	Vice Chair Auerbach?
21	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Ruano?
23	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. The minutes are approved
25	from the April 19th meeting.

```
1
              Let's go on to the minutes for the May 24th
              Is there a motion? Is there --
 2
   meeting.
 3
              COMMISSIONER RUANO: So I wasn't at the meeting,
   so abstain.
 4
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: You were not at the 19th
 5
 6
   meeting?
              COMMISSIONER RUANO:
                                   In May?
 8
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Somebody had to be there because
 9
   we had to four Commissioners.
10
              COMMISSIONER RUANO: Oh, was I there? I missed
11
   the last meeting.
12
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Oh, that was the 24th.
13
              COMMISSIONER RUANO: Okay.
14
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Is there a -- are there
15
   corrections or additions to the May 24th meeting?
                                                       Is there
16
   a motion to approve?
17
              COMMISSIONER MASS: Moved.
18
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Mass moves to
19
    approve.
20
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS:
                                   Second.
21
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis seconds.
              Commissioner Mass?
22
23
              COMMISSIONER MASS:
                                  Yes.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
24
25
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS:
                                   Yes.
```

1 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Choper? COMMISSIONER CHOPER: 2 Abstain. 3 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Winner votes yes. Commissioner Auerbach? 4 5 VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN WINNER: And Commissioner Ruano abstains. 7 Let us then go on -- so it passes by a four-to-8 nothing vote. 9 Executive Director report, Mr. Baedeker. 10 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Well, thank you, Mr. 11 I only have the financials for the month, and I apologize. I, yesterday, received the financials for the 12 13 recently concluded meet at Golden Gate. And before the end 14 of the Board meeting, I'll get the key for the wi-fi, but I 15 can't pull it up right now. But they had a terrific meet. As I recall, everything in, up nine percent. Huge gains in 16 17 out-of-state betting on Golden Gate. They had four fewer 18 days this year than last. In any event, I'll get the real 19 numbers here before we're done. 20 The numbers are also good for the entire industry 21 for the month of May and year-to-date. For the month of 22 May, there was one additional card during the day. was a 10.37 percent increase in business for day racing, a 2.3 24 4.34 percent increase for night racing with the same number 25 of days, and overall a 9.77 percent increase in racing

```
during May. For the year the numbers are still very solid.
 2
    Daytime racing is up 9.4 percent, nighttime racing up 2.8
   percent. Altogether, racing is up 8.7 percent. And so good
 3
   news to start off the meeting.
 4
 5
              And that's my report, Mr. Chairman.
 6
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you very much.
 7
              Let's go on. I don't have any cards for public
 8
    comment.
             Now I do? Okay.
 9
              John Valenzuela. John, where are you?
10
              MR. VALENZUELA: Good morning. Good morning,
   Ladies and Gentlemen. Can you hear me? Hello? Good
11
   morning, Ladies and Gentlemen, Executive Director, Chairman
12
   and Commissioners, and the gallery. My name is John
13
   Valenzuela. I am President of PMEG, or Pari-Mutuel
14
   Employees Guild of Local 280 of California.
15
16
              I would just like to bring it to your attention
17
    that, first of all, Local 280's Collective Bargaining
18
   Agreement expires July 18th, 2018, opening day at Del Mar.
19
   As of this time, Local 280 is in negotiation with all the
20
    employers or their reps. We've already had three meetings,
21
    and we have several more meetings scheduled, so hopefully
22
    that we can come to an agreement.
23
              So unless there's questions, I'm done with my
24
    comment.
```

Thank you, John.

CHAIRMAN WINNER:

25

Are there any questions for John? 1 2 Thank you, John. 3 MR. VALENZUELA: Okay. Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Julie-Lynn Rupp. 5 MS. RUPP: Thank you. Good morning, Chairman 6 Winner, Commissioners. I'm Julie-Lynn Rupp and I'm the head 7 of the San Mateo Labor Council, representing over 100 unions 8 and nearly 75,000 workers, including those that serve the 9 San Mateo Event Center and Jockey Club. I'm also here today 10 representing my brothers and sisters in Alameda County, nearly 90,000 workers, as my colleague in that Labor Council 11 12 wasn't able to join us this morning. 13 The actions being attempted by the Stronach Group 14 at Golden Gate Fields are unconscionable and threatening to the lives and livelihoods of hundreds of hardworking 15 16 employees. 17 The fair industry has long supported its unionized 18 workforce, demonstrating its commitment to fair wages and 19 good benefits for its employees. Should Stronach's power play be successful the 20 21 impact on all satellite wagering facilities and their 22 workers would be devastating, workers that are trying, just 2.3 like you and I, to make enough in this high-cost-of-living area to take care of ourselves and families. This cannot be 24 25 allowed to happen, and I implore you to stand strong against

```
their bullying and stand up for those who have so long stood
 2
    for this industry.
 3
              Thank you.
 4
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Thank you.
 5
              Are there any questions or comments?
 6
              Thank you very much.
 7
              Dana Stoehr, San Mateo Jockey Club.
 8
              MS. STOEHR: Good morning, Honorable Board
 9
   Members.
              I am -- my name is Dana Stoehr. I am the CEO of
10
    the San Mateo Jockey Club and the San Mateo County Event
11
   Center.
12
              The Jockey Club established after Bay Meadows
13
   closed in 2008 and was substantially renovated with millions
14
    of public-fund dollars, and is the most successful satellite
15
    in Northern California and one of the most successful in the
16
   state.
17
              I want to introduce you to some of my staff.
    are not here today because they are working today, serving
18
19
   our race patrons.
20
              Milton Bracca. Raul Colima (phonetic), Timotea
21
    Ferman (phonetic), Roberto Escobar (phonetic), Edgar
22
    Gonzalez, Carlo Grimsley (phonetic), Eduardo Guerrera, Devon
23
    Gullett (phonetic), Lazaro Machato (phonetic), Rick Nielsen
24
    (phonetic), Daniel Nevoa (phonetic), Robert Contero
25
    (phonetic), Santos Sambria (phonetic), Pete Tarbe
```

(phonetic), John Torre (phonetic), Richard Toll (phonetic), 2 Candy Woznick (phonetic), Mongo Deserek (phonetic), Arturo 3 Fineska (phonetic), Daisy Garcia, Frosso Unis (phonetic), Arturo Linares (phonetic), and Arsenio Zamora, they know 4 5 every regular race patrons favorite place to park, every --6 the favorite place -- their favorite place to sit, and their 7 favorite items to eat and drink. 8 They are our staff, our neighbors, our Jockey Club 9 Most are long-time employees. They are also SEIU, 10 Teamsters and Local 2 members. They regularly work 11 weekends, holidays, nights, to serve our race patrons. 12 Are you going to allow the Stronach Group to make 13 them wonder how they're going to pay their rent, buy food or cover their medical needs? 14 15 I ask you to do the right thing by them and the hundreds working in the satellite wagering facilities in 16 17 Northern California, some in the very building you're 18 meeting in, as well as the over 150 SEIU and NCOTWINC mutuel 19 employees in Northern California. 20 The fair industry has always fairly supported its 21 workforce to take care of those horse racing fans and its Don't allow the Stronach Group to destroy that. 22 23 Don't allow these employees' lives to be kept in limbo. 24 fair. Do the right thing. Support NCOTWINC and support the 25 California Authority of Racing Fairs.

_	
1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Any questions?
3	COMMISSIONER RUANO: I just want to say to Dana
4	and to all those workers, thank you for everything you do
5	for the racing industry.
6	MS. STOEHR: Thank you. We're very concerned for
7	our family of workers, so we look to you for support. Thank
8	you very much.
9	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you. Thank you very much.
10	All right, moving on to item number six,
11	discussion and action by the Board regarding the
12	distribution of race charity proceeds of the Del Mar
13	Thoroughbred Club's 2017 summer race meeting in the amount
14	of \$48,234 to the beneficiary of this race meeting, yeah, to
15	a beneficiary.
16	Josh?
17	MR. RUBENSTEIN: (Off mike.) (Indiscernible.)
18	CHAIRMAN WINNER: It doesn't matter.
19	MR. RUBENSTEIN: Josh Rubenstein, Del Mar
20	Thoroughbred Club.
21	As the staff analysis points out, the Board has
22	previously approved Del Mar's 2017 charity days, but we just
23	need to, hopefully, have you approve the CARMA distribution
24	for our summer meet, fall meet and Breeders' Cup.
25	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Is there a motion to approve?

1	COMMISSIONER RUANO: So moved.
2	CHAIRMAN WINNER: So moved by Commissioner Ruano.
3	COMMISSIONER MASS: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Seconded by Commissioner Mass.
5	Commissioner Ruano?
6	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN WINNER: You abstain?
8	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: I abstain.
9	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Auerbach abstains.
10	Commissioner Winner
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Mr. Chairman?
12	MR. RUBENSTEIN: Josh has presented testimony, I
13	think, in support of items six, seven and eight all at once.
14	MR. RUBENSTEIN: Yes. Yeah, if
15	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Do you want to make
16	that motion?
17	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah. Can we make the motion to
18	approve items six, seven and eight, the CARMA addition. I
19	think the motion was Commissioner Ruano?
20	COMMISSIONER RUANO: I'll amend it.
21	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Well, why don't you
22	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN WINNER: change the motion, rather
24	than
25	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Okay.

1	CHAIRMAN WINNER: just a new motion.
2	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Move to
3	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Otherwise, we have to vote on
4	the amendment and then the motion.
5	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Move to approve.
6	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Move to approve.
7	COMMISSIONER MASS: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Mass seconds.
9	Commissioner Ruano?
10	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Vice Chair Auerbach abstains.
12	Chairman Winner votes yes.
13	Commissioner Choper?
14	COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yes.
16	Commissioner Solis?
17	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN WINNER: And Commissioner Mass?
19	COMMISSIONER MASS: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you very much.
21	Congratulations to CARMA.
22	MR. RUBENSTEIN: Thank you.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Thank you.
24	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Number nine now.
25	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Moving on to number nine,

г	
1	discussion and action by the board regarding the
2	distribution of race day charity proceeds of the Pacific
3	Racing Association, dba Golden Gate Fields, 2016/2017 race
4	meetings, the amount of \$52,052 to eight beneficiaries.
5	Eric?
6	MR. SINDLER: Good morning. Eric Sindler on
7	behalf of Pacific Racing Association.
8	As the Chairman pointed out, we have donated we
9	would like to donate \$52,000, all to horse racing-related
10	charities.
11	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Any questions? Is there a
12	motion.
13	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Move.
14	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis moves.
15	COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Choper seconds.
17	Commissioner Mass?
18	COMMISSIONER MASS: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
20	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Choper?
22	COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Chairman, yes.
24	Vice Chairman?
25	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: I have to abstains.

_	
1	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Abstains.
2	Commissioner Ruano?
3	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Motion carries five to nothing.
5	Thank you, Eric.
6	MR. SINDLER: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Moving right along, discussion
8	and action by the Board regarding the distribution of race
9	day charity proceeds of the Watchandwager.com LLC 2016/2017
10	race meeting in the amount of \$4,000 to five beneficiaries.
11	MR. KENNEY: Ben Kenney, Watch and Wager.
12	We did give to five different equine-related
13	charities. I'm here to answer questions you may have.
14	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Any questions? Is there a
15	motion?
16	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: So moved.
17	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Auerbach moves.
18	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Ruano seconds.
20	Commissioner Mass?
21	COMMISSIONER MASS: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
23	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Choper?
25	COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yes.

_	
1	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
2	Vice Chair
3	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN WINNER: votes yes.
5	Commissioner Ruano
6	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN WINNER: votes yes.
8	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you very much.
9	MR. KENNEY: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Approved unanimously.
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Mr. Chairman, just
12	FYI regarding the next agenda item, the Application by the
13	Sonoma County Fair. Staff has received the outstanding
14	documents, granted, not sufficiently in time for review by
15	the Commissioners, but so the Board can choose whether or
16	not to hear it today or move on.
17	CHAIRMAN WINNER: I recommend that we go ahead and
18	hear it today. And so let's move on with item number 11.
19	Discussion and action by the Board on the
20	Application to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Sonoma
21	County Fair at Santa Rosa, commending August 1, 20918
22	through August 14th, 2018, inclusive.
23	Becky?
24	MS. BARTLING: Good morning.
25	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Good morning.

VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Good morning.

2.3

MS. BARTLING: Becky Bartling, CEO, Sonoma County Fairgrounds and wine country racing. And I have Stacey Lapham, our Director of Racing here today, so --

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you.

MS. BARTLING: -- we're excited to be here and present our Application, and apologize for the late distribution, late information coming, but we're going to try to be better at that. It seems to me there's always a little hiccup somewhere down the road, but we do really try to get everything in on time.

So just a couple things.

As you know, we have eight days of racing this year, a little different than the past. We actually are dropping two of the stakes' races due to that. Our three stakes' races will be on the turf track. However, we are replacing the dropped stakes' races with comparable allowance races. And our overnight purses are same as last year and the same as our other racing fairs.

The turf course, we have been working on it very diligently, so it should be as good as it's ever been, excited about that. We have one staff member who has been sleeping out there, so it should be good.

We have the Dinners in the Park for our horsemen.

We're going to continue to those, nice event where we host a

dinner and the board -- actually, our Board of Directors comes and serves to the horsemen. And we have -- Stacey puts together a great little raffle that we give out, so it's a real fun night.

Promotions. In addition to our popular daily handicap seminars, the Win, Place and Show Drawing, t-shirt toss, always popular, paddock tours, and the ever popular Derby Dog Races. Now, if you haven't seen those at a fair racetrack, you've got to, it's crazy. And I think the people that actually run the dogs are more involved than many of the horsemen. Well, I guess, and many of them are the horsemen.

And we're having, back by popular demand, the Second Chance promotion where patrons have the ability to win a prize by submitting their losing ticket into the daily drawing, so hopefully losers become winners.

And we're going to continue on with an area to help teach racing fans how to use the tote machines. We give them out a \$2.00 voucher, so it gives them a little incentive to actually go try it. It helps when we have big lines and the mutuels are a little backed up. It's a good added asset for us to help get the wagering going.

So with that, I'll let you ask any questions that you might have.

COMMISSIONER RUANO: I have a real quick question.

1 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Please, Commissioner Ruano. The missing information for 2 COMMISSIONER RUANO: 3 the Workers' Compensation, what's the new expiration date? Because that one is about to expire. 4 5 MS. BARTLING: It expires every year. We get that 6 through the County of Sonoma and it expires every year at 7 the same time, so it will be renewed right after that. 8 COMMISSIONER RUANO: Renewed? Okay. 9 MS. BARTLING: Yes. CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis? 10 11 COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yeah. My concern is you keep 12 dropping down the stake races. And I think for breeding 13 reasons in California, it's important to have these races. 14 I'd like to see if, in the future, we get more of these 15 races. It's very important for the breeding here in California. 16 17 MS. BARTLING: Sure. I understand. I think our 18 challenge is, you know, we went from three weeks of racing 19 to two weeks of racing. And honestly, without dropping 20 those stakes' races, our race meet would be -- it would be 21 financially difficult. 22 So I understand your concern. Hopefully at some 23 point we can work a way to go back to three weeks to help 24 with that. And if we could do it, we would certainly add 25 something back.

1	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Are you interested in going back
2	to three weeks?
3	MS. BARTLING: We are.
4	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. And I agree with
5	Commissioner Solis. I think that losing those stakes' races
6	is harmful. And whatever we can do bring them back,
7	hopefully next year
8	MS. BARTLING: Yeah.
9	CHAIRMAN WINNER: would be very helpful.
10	MS. BARTLING: Understandable. And we, obviously,
11	you know, we've gone through our issues in the past with the
12	carnival and being bounced around.
13	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Right.
14	MS. BARTLING: And, you know, it's water under the
15	bridge now, but in the future, we would certainly like to be
16	back to three week.
17	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you.
18	Any other questions? Is there a motion to approve
19	the license?
20	COMMISSIONER RUANO: So moved.
21	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Ruano moves.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: I'll second.
23	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Vice Chair Auerbach seconds.
24	Commissioner Mass?
25	COMMISSIONER MASS: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
2	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Choper?
4	COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Vice or Chairman votes yes.
6	Vice Chair?
7	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN WINNER: And Commissioner Ruano?
9	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Have a great meet.
11	MS. BARTLING: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you.
13	MS. BARTLING: Hopefully you all can come visit
14	us.
15	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you.
16	MS. BARTLING: It is wine it's wine country.
17	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Item number 12, discussion and
18	action by the Board on the Application for License to
19	Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Humboldt County Fair,
20	commencing August 15th, 2018 through August 28th, 2018,
21	inclusive.
22	Jim? Folks?
23	MR. MORGAN: Good morning. James Morgan, Special
24	Counsel, Humboldt County Fair.
25	MR. CONWAY: Richard Conway, Humboldt County Fair.

Basically, we're back again, you know, this year with the unopposed. NCOTWINC gave us the opportunity to increase the purse levels. We added back another small A lot of positive recruitment and response so stake race. far from the Pacific Northwest, so looking to having a really solid meet. We have a lot of new promotions. You know, we actually have a road trip from Alameda up to Humboldt for the second week, bringing people from the simulcast facility here, just a lot of opportunity for us. CHAIRMAN WINNER: Can we do something about the back feed? Talk into the mike. MR. CONWAY: Okay. CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Thank you. So again, you know, with the MR. CONWAY: unopposed week, it's a great opportunity for us. Ad we're trying to take full advantage of it. CHAIRMAN WINNER: Jim? MR. MORGAN: Yeah. Just to dovetail on that, thank you so much for giving us the opportunity to race one week without overlap. I know it's a struggle every year. Giving Golden Gate the extra two weeks in September helped Opening Pleasanton a week early, full grandstands, full parking lots helped them. And one week without overlap

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

for Humboldt will help us survive.

2.3

As Richard said, we've raised purses. We've doubled down on our efforts to recruit horses from Oregon. And hopefully, like last year, they'll come, they'll have some success at Humboldt and they'll stay for the rest of the fair season at Golden Gate Fields.

We've added money to the purse and a stake's race. I don't know if you read, but the San Jose Mercury News last week came up with a list of five county fairs you must visit in California; Alameda and Humboldt were at the top of that list. We look forward to a good meet.

We had Lonely Planet come out this year and name Humboldt County Coastline as the number one destination in the United States.

We're doing all we can to recruit horses and have a good meet.

I'd also like to remind the group that in the past years, you've had, when we raced with overlap at Golden Gate Fields, that they not run horses below \$5,000. We ask that that be a condition of racing overlap again this year. Two years ago they wrote some races in the condition book as extras. They didn't do that last year, but they did write starter allowances for horses that started for less than \$5,000. They wrote five. So those -- that also kind of hurts us. So we would ask that you continue that tradition

of having the restriction on horses entered below \$5,000 2 during the period of overlap. CHAIRMAN WINNER: Of course, that would come up 3 4 during their license application, not during yours. 5 MR. MORGAN: Chairman, yes. 6 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Any questions? 7 COMMISSIONER RUANO: I have a question. 8 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yes, please. 9 COMMISSIONER RUANO: You mentioned new promotions. 10 I'm wondering if you could tell us a little bit more about 11 what they are and why you're excited about them? 12 MR. CONWAY: We brought a new marketing person in-13 And with the help of, actually, Alameda a lot of the house. 14 new promotions through social media, to something we focused 15 on a lot in the past, and with giveaways and contests and second-chance events, definitely a lot of interest in some 16 17 of the new ideas. So it's, you know, we have, I don't know, 18 I think we have 20,000 followers right now, and that number 19 seems to be increasing every day through the promotional 20 efforts. 21 COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Has Golden Gate agreed to the \$5,000 minimum? 22 23 MR. MORGAN: They have not disagreed with it. 24 they've agreed to it each of the five -- last three or four 25

years, and the Board has made that a condition.

1	And to further answer your question, we know we
2	have quite a pilgrimage of people from the Bay Area that go
3	up for the cooler weather and the redwoods and the
4	coastline. This year, for the first time, they've organized
5	a group for 45 people to go up for three days, three nights.
6	If any of you would like to go, we'd love to sponsor you.
7	They live from this, by bus, from this parking lot. They
8	have barbeques. The have handicapping seminars. They go to
9	the Jockey Club breakfast. And it stimulates interest in
10	not only the region, but the horse racing, live horse racing
11	at that venue.
12	COMMISSIONER RUANO: And this is the first time
13	you're doing that?
14	MR. MORGAN: First time we're doing that.
15	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Just to be clear, part of the
16	race dates commitment that was made when we granted the race
17	dates to Golden Gate at that time was the \$5,000 minimum.
18	MR. MORGAN: That was my understanding
19	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah.
20	MR. MORGAN: but I wasn't sure if it was
21	formalized.
22	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, let's just get it on the
23	record that that was.
24	Okay, is there a motion?
25	COMMISSIONER MASS: So moved.

1	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Mass moves.
2	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis seconds.
4	Commissioner Ruano?
5	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Vice Chair Auerbach?
7	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
9	Commissioner Choper?
10	COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN WINNER: And Commissioner Solis?
12	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN WINNER: And Commissioner Mass?
14	COMMISSIONER MASS: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Have a great meet, guys.
16	MR. MORGAN: Thank you.
17	MR. CONWAY: Thank you. Appreciate it.
18	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Look forward to it.
19	Okay, discussion and action by the Board on the
20	Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of
21	the Pacific Racing Association II at Golden Gate Fields,
22	commencing August 22nd, 2018 through October 2nd, 2018,
23	inclusive.
24	And let me just state at the outset that this is
25	not a discussion of race dates. Race dates are not on the

```
agenda.
             There was no public notice of a discussion of race
           And even though a lot of the media has made this --
 2
 3
   has indicated that a discussion of race dates might be
   taking place at this meeting, let's just say clearly at the
 4
 5
    outset of the discussion on this item, we're going to be
    discussing this item. We are not going to be discussing the
 6
 7
    allocation of race dates at this meeting.
 8
              So having said that, Eric, you're on.
 9
              MR. SINDLER: Good morning. Eric Sindler on
   behalf of Pacific Racing Association II.
10
11
              We have submitted our Application to Conduct a
12
   Race Meet. It starts August 22nd and goes through October
13
    2nd.
14
              It is noted in the staff analysis that in their
15
    opinion the Application does not comply with California Law.
    We disagree. We believe the application does comply with
16
17
    California Law and we request your approval.
18
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: All right. Is there any
    discussion?
19
20
              Rick, do you have something you would like to
21
    state?
22
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                            Yeah.
                                                    Clearly, Eric
   has indicated the key controversial component of the Race
23
24
   Meet Application.
25
              Since 1984, the California Legislature -- in 1984,
```

the California Legislature authorized satellite wagering in 2 order to expand wagering opportunities, and thereby achieve 3 greater purses, increase racing opportunities, and create 4 jobs. Satellite wagering in the north and south has 5 operated continuously for 33 years. 6 The Stronach Group, however, in its Application to 7 operate the Golden Gate meet, which will run from August 8 22nd through October 5th, it's limited to those six weeks, 9 they have proposed to operate without a simulcast wagering network in the northern zone. 10 So as Eric indicated, this has raised several 11 legal issues. And our legal staff has been working for the 12 13 better part of the last three months on the analysis of the 14 law. And so I will turn it over to our Staff Counsel, 15 Rob Brodnick to brief the Board on our findings. 16 17 MR. BRODNICK: Good morning, Chairman --18 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Good morning. 19 MR. BRODNICK: -- members of the Board, Robert 20 Brodnick, California Horse Racing Board. 21 Item number 13 on the agenda addresses Pacific 22 Racing Association II's Application to Conduct a Race Meet, 2.3 as Mr. Sindler indicated, from August 22nd through October 24 2nd of this year. 25 Staff has had an opportunity to review the

Application as submitted and its staff analysis lists items 2 which still remain outstanding. Specifically, I'd like to draw your attention to the simulcast wagering portion of the 3 That's section number 12 of the Race Meet 4 Application. 5 Application. And subsection (a) specifically requests a 6 simulcast organization engaged by the association to conduct 7 simulcast wagering. PRA II's response was N/A, or not 8 applicable. 9 Staff believes that per the CHRB Rule 2058, a 10 racing association may simulcast its racing program as the 11 host association, either by forming its own simulcast 12 organization, acting on its own behalf by contracting with 13 each individual fair or racing association simulcast 14 facility, or by contracting with an existing simulcast 15 organization. If an association acts on its own behalf, it is still responsible for the provisions of CHRB Rule 2060. 16 17 Here, Pacific Racing Association II is obligated 18 to simulcast its racing program pursuant to the provisions in California Business and Professions Code section 19608. 19 And this is because Pacific Racing Association II makes more 20 21 than \$1.5 million in average daily handle. 22 Pacific Racing Association II, by indicating not 23 applicable the only conclusion that can be reached is that they intend to contract with each individual satellite. 24 25 In subsection (b), the Application requests the

applicant to attach the agreement between the Association and simulcast organization permitting the organization to use the Association's live audio-visual signal for wagering purposes, and provide access to its tote for the purposes of combining on-track and off-track pari-mutuel pools. PRA II's response was not applicable. As discussed, Staff believes that PRA II needs to provide to the Board for approval a copy of each operational agreement it enters into with each simulcast facility. Here, PRA II has indicated not applicable. And Staff views this section of the Application as incomplete. To comply, Staff believes that PRA II will need to provide operational agreements with all eligible satellite wagering facilities. In subsection (c) of the Application in section 12, the Application requests the applicant to list California simulcast facilities the Association proposes to offer its live audio-visual signal to. PRA's response in this portion of their Application for the race meet was Southern California only, as well as combining a list of only Southern California satellite wagering facilities. Staff views this proposal in the Application by PRA II to be in violation of the law. Business and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Professions Code section 19608 requires an association which

makes more than \$1.5 million in average daily handle to make its audio-visual signal available to authorized satellite 2 3 wagering facilities. Furthermore, CHRB Rule 2058(e) states that all 4 5 wagers made available by the host association shall be made available to all simulcast facilities. 6 PRA II proposes to make its signal available only 7 8 to certain simulcast facilities. And those simulcast 9 facilities are only in Southern California. 10 It is important to note that this Application is 11 inconsistent or different from industry practice. 12 Typically, the industry practice has been to conduct 13 simulcast wagering with all facilities, all satellite 14 facilities. And this Application as submitted would be a 15 large departure from that practice. 16 Furthermore, as stated in the Business and 17 Professions Code in 19401(c), one intent of the law and the 18 Board which enforces it is to provide for the maximum 19 expansion of horse racing opportunities. 20 So with that, Staff used the current Application, 21 as submitted by PRA II as incomplete. 22 And with that, I'm happy to take any questions. CHAIRMAN WINNER: Any questions for Rob? 23 24 Do we have any cards on this issue, Mike? 25 MR. MARTEN: Only two.

```
1
              MR. SINDLER:
                           May I come up? I would like to say
 2
    I do --
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah, you definitely --
 3
 4
              MR. SINDLER:
                            Yes.
 5
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- can respond.
 6
              MR. SINDLER: Yes. No, I respect his analysis.
 7
    disagree. We do not, and I personally do not believe the
 8
    law requires us to operate a network in Southern California.
 9
    That is why we have made our Application the way that we
    did. So reasonable minds can differ. It's not an
10
11
    acrimonious dispute. We just believe the law says -- you
12
    think it says X, we think it says not X. It's really a
13
    legal question.
                     It is not acrimonious. It is not
14
    confrontational. We don't want you to view it that way.
                                                               We
15
    just think it's an interpretation of the law.
16
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Eric, did you misspeak?
17
   You said Southern California.
18
              MR. SINDLER: Oh, then, yes, Northern California.
                                Okay.
19
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
20
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
21
              MR. SINDLER:
                            Yeah.
22
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       I just wanted to clarify
23
   that.
              MR. SINDLER:
24
                            Thank you.
25
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Elizabeth?
                                            Elizabeth Morey?
```

```
1
              MR. AVIOLI: We'll come together, if you don't
 2
   mind.
 3
                                Okay. I don't have a card from
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
 4
   you.
 5
              MR. AVIOLI: Yes, you do.
 6
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: I don't have it.
 7
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: I think Liz went to --
 8
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: I don't know if I'm going to
 9
    allow you to come here without a card.
10
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: My understanding, too, is
11
    there are a list of things that are not done with you --
12
    according to our paperwork, there's no TOC agreement,
   there's no labor agreement. There's a lot of stuff that
13
14
   missing. Do you know if any of this stuff has -- there's no
15
   CTT agreement, I don't think.
16
              MR. AVIOLI: Yes, a few of those.
17
              MS. MOREY:
                          There's seven items.
18
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Where are we now, do we
19
   know?
20
              MR. AVIOLI:
                           So --
21
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Let me ask Staff first.
22
              Do we know where they are on the rest of the
23
    agreement, Jackie?
24
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Jackie?
25
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Jackie would like a
```

```
microphone.
 2
              MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB Staff.
 3
              To my knowledge, we have not received any of the
    items that are noted as outstanding --
 4
 5
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: None of them?
 6
             MS. WAGNER: -- in your analysis.
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       The NYRA Bets, the ADW
 8
    stuff, that's your -- Scott does that; right?
 9
              MR. SINDLER: So NYRA Bets, the agreement ends the
10
   end of this month. We are in negotiation with them.
11
    sent contracts over. We don't expect any problems with NYRA
12
   Bets.
13
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: But we don't have it?
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: We don't have it.
14
15
              MR. SINDLER: Not yet, no.
16
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: And we don't have
17
    anything with --
18
              MR. SINDLER: That hasn't expired yet.
19
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- CTT and TOC.
20
    don't have those either?
21
              MR. SINDLER: We're in negotiation. And we
22
    understand those are outstanding.
23
              However, I believe the real crux of this matter is
24
   whether our Application complies with the law and that's
25
   what I would like to focus on, whether our Application is
```

```
granted based on the law or not.
 2
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Okay.
 3
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       I understand what you'd
 4
   like to focus on, but we have a responsibility to focus on
 5
   all of it. So I see --
 6
              MR. SINDLER: I appreciate that.
 7
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- people from TOC, so I
 8
   guess they're going to update us.
 9
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah, let's see what TOC has to
10
    say.
11
              Mr. Avioli?
12
              MR. AVIOLI: Yes. Good morning.
13
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Now that I have your card --
              MR. AVIOLI: Good afternoon.
14
15
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- you can speak.
16
              MR. AVIOLI: Good afternoon. Let the record
17
   reflect that Liz was supposed to put a card in for me and
18
    she didn't, and we'll be dealing with that later.
19
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Oh, so now we're casting blame?
20
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Oh, boo.
21
              MR. AVIOLI: Greg Avioli, TOC.
22
              The goal has been to create chaos by the Stronach
23
    Group, and they have created chaos.
                                         I think we can all
24
    agree on that.
25
              The legal issue will be decided one way or the
```

other, but we're here today to talk about some practical issues and provide some real information and real data, because in the words of 2018, there's been a lot of fake facts out there, starting with that NCOTWINC loses money. And Elizabeth is going to go through some numbers. And we got permission from Mr. Baedeker to distribute those to you all. And before we get to that, just a couple point I want to make.

In the past there have been issues of is the horsemen agreement turned in on time, and it's largely been administrative, where we're dealing with sort of minor things. Right now, there is no path to a horsemen's agreement for Golden Gate because what Golden Gate is asking for is the ability to run without a simulcast network that currently generates approximately 50 percent of the purses at Golden Gate. So, of course, the horsemen could not agree to that.

So regardless of how this gets resolved in court in the next 30 days, there is no practical way that I can see, absent a significant long-term guarantee from the Stronach Group for purses, regardless of the handle generated, absent that, I don't believe there's a way for the TOC to give a horsemen's agreement. It would be violating a number of obligations we have to our members.

Let me say that one more time: 50 percent of the

purses at Golden Gate are generated by wagering at NCOTWINC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second thing of things to come if this is not resolved that will be back before this Board is the Stronach Group did have the right, which they exercised about 97 days ago, to withdraw from NCOTWINC, and that became effective earlier in June. Because it's unchartered territory, what no one ever discussed is what happens with NCOTWINC at that point? And I can tell you, there are millions of dollars, probably over three, not counting projected damages from various lawsuits and other liabilities, that the Stronach Group has indicated their position is after they left NCOTWINC, then they're not on the hook anymore for those. Well, if they're not on the hook for those, that would leave the TOC and CARF on hook for those. And there's -- again, in basic fairness, you can't just reach that conclusion. So we have begun the process with the Stronach Group of telling them what we think their share of the costs This has by no way been resolved, but it's an issue that no one is talking about that, ultimately, I think is going to come back before the CHRB.

So with that, I will turn it over to TOC Director of Racing and head of Northern Operations, Elizabeth Morey.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, just to clarify, with respect to the NCOTWINC issue, if it comes back before the

Board, it would only come back to the Board with respect to 2 settling -- helping to settle a dispute; is that correct? 3 Because it's really NCOTWINC is not --4 MR. AVIOLI: Well, ultimately, we believe there's 5 going to be a liability from Golden Gate, Pacific Racing, to NCOTWINC, and it will come back to the Board under the, I 6 7 believe, under the auspices of your ability to make someone 8 pay their debts. 9 CHAIRMAN WINNER: So to settle a dispute, or in the best interest of racing, I think we can, also. 10 11 Elizabeth Morey, TOC. MS. MOREY: I'd like to address some of the comments that have 12 13 been made, both at CHRB Board meetings and in the press 14 about the NCOTWINC network not being profitable, statements 15 that it loses money. 16 And it is true that the NCOTWINC expenses during the Golden Gate Fields meets exceed their statutory 17 18 distribution. We use other sources of revenue to make up 19 that difference. But even including that, the NCOTWINC 20 network and the satellites are still profitable, both to 21 purses and commissions, and contribute to a number of other 22 industry organizations. 23 NCOTWINC actually covers both the expenses of the 24 simulcast network at off-track sites, and also a portion of 25 the live on-track expenses as they relate to imported races.

So last year the NCOTWINC network administered, at Golden Gate Fields meets only, \$1.43 million.

Now, as you know, the majority of that money goes back out to the wagering public. If we got to keep all of it, well, people, it wouldn't be a very good bet for the betters, but it would great business for us.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: \$143 million?

MS. MOREY: Yes, \$143 million. So of that, to purses and commissions, to purses, \$5.7 million just for the Golden Gate Fields meets, and commissions, \$4.8 million.

In addition to that, these are 2017 numbers, there was over \$1 million that was generated to the Stabling and Vanning Fund. And as the Board and most of the people in this room know, with the closure of Pleasanton, that money is no longer coming out of handle. So that's an additional \$1 million a year that would go towards purses and commissions from the off-track network.

We also have \$843,000 in CHRB Board support and to the Fairs and Exhibition Funds, \$353,000 in city taxes.

So the idea that this network is not making money is untrue. It is certainly making less money than it has in the past. And the TOC does not want to prevent the Stronach Group towards moving to a more economic model.

ADW is growing. It's growing every year. The simulcast network does handle less money every year. And

there should be an agreement where everyone can work together towards finding a model that works for everyone without, so to speak, throwing the baby out with the bath water, where we can make sure that we can maintain the purse money and the commission money that this network generates while still working towards a model that makes more sense for the future.

MR. AVIOLI: And if I could just add a couple points real quick?

We have been working for six months to try to, basically, tighten up the efficiency of NCOTWINC, and I think we're making some progress. And we'll be doing the same with SCOTWINC. And so that end, I applaud the Stronach Group for starting the process.

The total expenses for this network are \$6.55 million. And of those expenses, 93 percent of those are for labor, tote data network, signal distribution and the armored cars. Is there some fluff in all that? Could better deals be negotiated? Of course. And, in fact, as you've heard, there's a labor contract going on and that could reduce these costs, and it's probably in everyone's best interest that that happens.

But what I'm trying to say, it's just not a \$20 million thing where we're going to be able to take \$14 million out of it. You're talking probably 10 to 20 percent

on the margins. And that's one of the challenges that we believe there is in the chaos. Like why so much chaos when we're trying to find a couple million dollars?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second thing that I want to talk under is sort of the fake fact concept is we've heard, we've read interviews with Stronach Group officials that their plan is to just close the network and then have all the money bet by Elizabeth is giving you some information about the three sites that have closed in the last decade in Northern California and how much of that money has actually gravitated to ADW. And the way those numbers were pulled is just basically doing a 25-mile radius around a race, we know where the people at ADW live, and seeing what the increase in this case, or decrease, was. And what you see is virtually none of the money that had been bet at the satellites converted to ADW. That's not to say that that will be the case in the future. Different locations, different time, I would hope there would be a better plan to incent customers, right, to transition.

We believe at the TOC, we have very little doubt in our minds that we are ultimately moving to a primary ADW wagering model in California, along with everywhere else.

Last year was interesting. For the first time since they introduced ADW, ADW wagering in the south exceeded wagering at SCOTWINC. So the ADWs, \$478 million wagered in the south

at ADW, \$452 million wagered at SCOTWINC. Interesting, in the north, we haven't seen that yet. In the north, \$183 million at NCOTWINC, and that's counting when Golden Gate is running live, and \$166 million ADW. So the south is clearly a little bit more advanced in terms of the ADW than the north.

2.3

And it's further showing this year. This year,

ADW in the south is up a remarkable 14 percent year over

year, and that's after a lot of growth in the previous

decade. Statewide, it's up about ten-and-a-half percent,

but in the north, it's up less than two percent. So we have

work to do with ADW customers in the north.

We support a logical transition over time to a form of betting that most of our customers are going to want to do. But we don't believe anything as rash as dropping the network on day one and thinking all the money is going to go to ADW is illogical.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Eric, what is the estimate by the Stronach Group with respect to the percentage that's required to go from the satellite network to ADWs to even -- to break even on what would be lost?

MR. SINDLER: the breakeven point is somewhere around 65 to 70 percent, in that ballpark. The exact number is unknown because if it does shift to ADW, a few deals will be most likely modified, so the exact number is not exactly

possible to know at this point. 2 CHAIRMAN WINNER: So it would require a movement 3 of 65 to 70 percent. And the history seems to be, as Mr. 4 Avioli pointed out, that there's been no growth. When the 5 San Mateo and the other two satellites closed, there was no 6 growth in the ADW. How do you explain that? 7 MR. SINDLER: I'm sorry, I don't get your 8 question. 9 CHAIRMAN WINNER: My question is if there is a 10 precedent for closing brick-and-mortars and whether or not 11 those customers go to ADW? And the precedent shows that there's been no movement of those brick-and-mortar customers 12 13 to ADWs. And you're saying that in order to breakeven, you 14 have to have 65 or 70 percent movement, as I'm understanding 15 what you're saying. 16 And I'm asking you, how do you --17 MR. SINDLER: Right. 18 CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- how do you plan to encourage 19 those 65 or 70 percent of customers that are currently going 20 to brick-and-mortars to wager on ADW, since the precedence 21 seems to indicate that doesn't happen? 22 MR. SINDLER: Well, first, I think it should be 2.3 noted that the precedent, I know he said this in the last 24 decade, so when there's a seismic shift, looking at what 25 happened in one or two isolated cases, I'm not sure is

```
apples to apples.
 2
              Additionally, where the wagers are located and the
 3
   time, things have changed in a decade. So I'm not really
    sure we're comparing apples to apples by any stretch of the
 4
 5
    imagination. Having just received these numbers, I don't
 6
   know the exact dates they closed, exact locations, exactly
 7
   populations. So trying to compare what happened a decade
 8
    ago to today, I think is unfair.
 9
              MR. AVIOLI: By the way --
              MR. SINDLER: With that --
10
              MR. AVIOLI: -- it's Greq Avioli.
11
12
              It's 2013, 2016 and 2017 were when each of those
1.3
    site closed.
14
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah, so we're not talking a
15
   decade.
             We're talking a few years.
              MR. SINDLER: Right.
16
17
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: But I understand.
18
              MR. SINDLER: Yeah.
                                There are differences.
19
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
20
              MR. SINDLER: Yes.
21
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: You know, clearly, there are
22
    differences in population and differences in demographics
2.3
   and differences in distance and all that kind of stuff.
24
   just seems like a pretty big stretch to go from zero to 65
25
   or 70 percent.
```

```
1
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       To put it another way --
 2
              MR. AVIOLI: Could I add one thing?
 3
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- what -- I was going to
 4
    ask --
 5
              MR. AVIOLI: -- real quick?
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- may I --
 6
 7
              MR. AVIOLI: Because I think it's important for
 8
   Eric. You go ahead.
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: May I ask you what you've
 9
10
   done to ensure that that money would be available? What is
11
    the Stronach Group planning to do if they're not planning to
12
    distribute the signal so that that money is available for
13
   purses? What are they planning to do to ensure that there
14
   will be money enough for purses?
              MR. SINDLER: We are still in discussions about
15
16
   that at the moment.
17
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: So, in other words, you
18
   want to drop it without having a clear plan about how to
19
   replace it? Look --
20
              MR. SINDLER: Yeah.
21
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- you're here.
22
   You're --
23
              MR. SINDLER: I know.
24
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- representing them.
25
   These are the tough questions --
```

```
1
              MR. SINDLER: I understand.
 2
                        VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                                -- and
 3
    legitimate questions.
 4
              MR. SINDLER:
                            They are.
 5
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: You're asking to have a
 6
    license given to you while dropping $6.5 million out of the
 7
   purse.
 8
              So my question to you is: What did you put in
 9
   place to make sure that we can withstand that, we as an
10
    industry can withstand that shortfall?
11
              MR. SINDLER: I think I'd like to say first, about
12
    eight or nine years ago, it was a different Board, but the
13
   whole industry was admonished for not having a productive
14
   network. And in nine years, if it was that easy, it would
15
   have happened.
16
              So I understand your point.
                                           I don't -- I get
17
   where you're coming from. But just to say you need a
18
    concrete plan on day one, I think if it was that simple, it
19
   would have already happened. And we are working on your
20
    other points that I understand are reasonable. We are
21
   working on it.
22
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       So, in other words, you
23
   don't have anything?
24
              MR. SINDLER: We are working on it.
25
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Okay.
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Is there -- yeah, Greg, go
 2
   ahead.
              MR. AVIOLI: I just wanted to clarify, and maybe
 3
   Eric could confirm this, because there has been some
 4
 5
   discrepancies of how much of the handle would actually have
 6
   to move over. And we believe the actual number is closes to
 7
    100 percent. And the reason, the way that we understand
 8
   that they get to 70 percent is by assuming that in this
 9
   process the statutorily mandated $2.9 million of mitigation
10
    fees that has to be paid out of ADW to the fairs will be
11
   voluntarily returned, so that's another baseless
12
    supposition.
13
              So the reality is, back to fake facts, there
14
    isn't -- that's the only way you get to the 70 percent
15
   number.
16
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Is there another factor in this,
17
   Eric, that you can respond to, which is that when you
18
    figured your 65 or 70 percent, were you figuring a
19
   percentage of the new ADW money would go to Xpressbet and
20
   Xpressbet would add to the profit --
21
              MR. SINDLER:
                            Well --
22
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- and therefore, that's how you
23
    get to a breakeven point?
24
                                    Greg is talking about
              MR. SINDLER: -- no.
25
   something --
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                No.
 2
              MR. SINDLER: -- actually separate.
 3
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: I know what Greg is talking
 4
           I'm asking you a different question.
 5
              In your figures did you figure in revenue that
 6
   Xpressbet would receive from the transfer of wagering at
 7
    satellites to ADWs? That's a simple question.
 8
              MR. SINDLER: Yes.
                                  The numbers we're working off
 9
   of were prepared by Bernie Thurman. And, no, that was not
10
    included in her analysis.
11
                                       Thank you.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay.
12
              MR. SINDLER: However, just to quickly comment --
13
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yes.
14
              MR. SINDLER: -- on Greg's point about the $2.9
15
   million, or roughly $2.9 million, we believe the law is
    clear that if there is no network, it has nowhere to go but
16
17
   purses and commissions.
18
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Rob, did you want to say
19
    something?
20
              MR. BRODNICK: Yes, just quickly. Thank you.
21
   Robert Brodnick, California Horse Racing Board.
22
              To Mr. Sindler's original point, the Board has
23
   before it its Application. It's not just whether the
24
   Application complies with the law, which the Staff at CHRB
25
   believes it does not because they're mandated to make their
```

signal available under 19608.

2.3

But further, the Board, when determining whether to grant or deny a license, has three other criteria that it looks to. And the first is whether it's in the public interest. Another is whether the application serves the purposes of the horse racing law. And finally, whether the application fails to meet any requirement of the law or the Board's regulation.

So beginning with the third one, as Staff has said, the current Application, as indicated, with a denial of satellite wagering to Northern California would not meet the provisions of 19608 or California Horse Racing Board rules and regulations. And so for that reason, that is why Staff believes that the current Application is insufficient or should not be granted, unless amended to comply with the law.

Furthermore, as Mr. Avioli and the TOC has brought up, and as the Board will consider, the Board has to consider whether this is in the best interest to grant this Application or to grant it as amended, you know, with an amendment to comply with the law as it is, which would potentially include wagering to Northern California satellites, which is what the law mandates.

Again, the other is whether the Application serves the purposes of the Horse Racing Law. And again, I think

```
that analysis is consistent.
 2
              So for that, I think it's important to note that I
 3
   understand that Golden Gate disagrees with our legal
 4
   analysis about what the law says. We believe the law says
 5
   that they have to make their signal available, but the
   Board, also, has to consider the best interests of horse
 6
 7
    racing, as well.
 8
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Thank you. Are there any other
 9
    questions? All right. I'm sorry. No? Okay.
10
              Thank you.
11
              Rick? Rick Pickering? Where's Rick?
12
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Over there.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: There he is.
13
14
              No other cards, Mike? Mike, any other cards on
   this issue?
15
16
             MR. MARTEN: No.
                                None.
17
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Okay.
                                       Good.
              Rick?
18
19
              MR. PICKERING:
                              Honorable Chair, Vice Chair,
20
    distinguished members of the Board, talented Horse Racing
21
   Board Staff, under the question of is it in the best
22
    interest of the public, it's a very difficult question to
2.3
               And certainly, you have to deal with that every
   weigh out.
24
   time applications come in front of you.
25
              Just within the past few years, we've seen
```

continued attempts by the Stronach Group, particularly at Golden Gate Fields, either through when Belinda Stronach sent out a letter to the industry saying Golden Gate Fields was closing because it would be the home of the Berkeley Labs, when letters went out stating that Golden Gate Fields would close once Dixon Downs would be built.

In 2008, Bay Meadows closed and 22 weeks of racing became made available to Golden Gate Fields.

In 2010, Magna announced it was seeking a real estate development proposal for Golden Gate Fields and they placed that in their FCC filing, which is also online.

The letter that I mentioned from Belinda Stronach went out to the industry in 2011. That information is also online.

In 2013, Berkeley Labs selected Richmond as their new home, rather than Golden Gate Fields.

In 2015, Mr. Brackpool came back to California, working for Mr. Stronach. And in 2015, Mr. Brackpool, on behalf of Stronach, threatened, at a Horse Racing Board Committee Meeting, that in spite of receiving the 22 weeks from Bay Meadows, Golden Gate Fields would close unless it could take all racing dates from fairs.

In 2016, the Stronach Group cancels the historic Oak Tree Meet at Santa Anita, and Pleasanton graciously brought Oak Tree to a new home in Northern California.

In October 2017, Mr. Ritvo came to California -or in California, on behalf of Mr. Stronach, at one of his
first meetings before his Board, submitted a plan for Golden
Gate Fields to run December 20th through September 4th,
taking all racing fair dates. At that meeting, testimony
from fairs was that fairs were just, perhaps, the shortest
person in the room. And once they were wiped out, then
somebody would be making a run at labor and at TOC.

2017, Mr. Ritvo, on behalf of Stronach, threatened to close Golden Gate Fields during summer training unless the fairs agreed to pay almost an extra -- almost \$1 million to keep Golden Gate Fields open as a training facility to support the network of fairs.

And then most recently, articles are out there saying Golden Gate Fields will have to close if it's forced to continue to provide its satellite to -- or its signal to fair satellites. We see that as an affront to this Board, as an affront to NCOTWINC, as an affront to thoroughbred owners, and most recently as a direct attack on organized labor in the state of California.

So when we consider the best public interest,

Southern California, if you're looking at how dollars have

migrated, there's only a handful of satellite wagering

facilities in the south. They're in some very populated

areas such as Del Mar, L.A., then we move out to Lancaster,

San Bernardino, Riverside and Ventura. When you come up to Northern California, we have 17 to 18 satellites spread around the entire north, different demographics.

But we appreciate your question on how much of this -- if the satellites in the north are closed, how much of that would migrate to Xpressbet, which is also owned by the Stronach Group. And please be aware that the fairs sell their live racing signals nationally and contract with Monarch to help place those signals, which is also owned by the Stronach Group, so our hands are a little bit tied there.

Closing fair satellite wagering facilities, we believe this is a step, an additional step, should sports wagering become legalized in California. We see this as if the satellites are out of business, there's plays for the future. We don't believe they're playing checkers. We believe they're playing chess, and unfortunately, racing in Northern California.

We appreciate all that Magna did, all that the Stronach Group has one. But keeping us off balance with these various grenades makes it very frustrating for the fairs, for the horsemen, for labor, for you as a Board. We certainly understand, they need their books to balance. But when we see Golden Gate Fields being put on the market on a fairly routine basis for development, we have to question,

```
will we continue to sacrifice all the north in order to
   forestall the closing at Golden Gate Fields? We would not
 2
   want to see them close, but it certainly seems like this
 3
   Board gets faced with if we don't do what they want, they'll
 4
 5
   close.
 6
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Thank you.
 7
              MR. PICKERING:
                              Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
                                Thank you, Rick.
 8
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
 9
              Any questions for Mr. Pickering? Any other
10
    comments?
              Okay.
11
              I'm going to propose a motion here and we'll see
12
   how it goes in discussion.
13
              I move to approve the Application for License to
14
    Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Pacific Racing
15
   Association II, contingent on the receipt of agreements with
    each satellite wagering facility in Northern California as
16
17
    required by law, including the TOC Horsemen's Agreement and
18
    all other outstanding items mentioned in the staff analysis
19
   by the close of business on July 2nd, 2018.
              Is there a second?
20
21
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER:
                                    Second.
22
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Seconded by Commissioner Choper.
23
              Is there discussion?
                                    Any discussion? All right,
24
   we're going to take a vote.
25
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Well, I'd be interested in
```

1	hearing what you have to say about that.
2	MR. SINDLER: About the motion specifically? It's
3	the Board's motion. Obviously, you have to do what you
4	believe is best.
5	COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Briefly explain again why it
6	would be unwise financially for you to participate in this?
7	MR. SINDLER: We've looked through the numbers. I
8	know Mr. Ritvo has made many public comments about it. I
9	know he's talked to a number of Staff and Board Members,
10	just personally. Nothing has changed in those statements
11	and announcements that have been made. We don't think it's
12	necessary under the law. And we don't think it's the right
13	financial move anymore.
14	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Any other discussion?
15	Commissioner Mass?
16	COMMISSIONER MASS: Yes.
17	Commissioner Solis?
18	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Choper?
20	COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
22	Vice Chairman?
23	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner?
25	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Yes.

```
1
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                It passes unanimously.
                                                         Thank
 2
   you.
 3
              MR. SINDLER:
                            Thank you.
 4
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Yes. Let me mention, also, that
 5
   we will call -- that the next meeting will be moved up a
 6
   week to July 12th. The meeting will be held at Los
 7
   Alamitos. And one of the items on the agenda for that
   meeting will be Northern California race date applications.
 8
 9
    So for those of you that are interested in Northern
10
    California race dates, it will be on the July 12th meeting.
11
   And, of course, everything will be dependent on what Golden
12
    Gate does, what the Stronach Group does by July 2nd, as
13
   required in the motion.
14
              Is that correct?
15
         (Colloquy between Chairman Winner and Vice Chairman
16
   Auerbach)
17
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay, moving on, item number 14,
18
    discussion and action by the Board on the renewal
19
   Application for License to Operate a Minisatellite Wagering
20
    Facility by ORG LLC dba Original Roadhouse Grill in Santa
21
   Maria, California, for a period of up to five years.
                           George Haines, Southern California
22
              MR. HAINES:
2.3
   Off-Track Wagering.
24
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Is that the one on the
25
    freeway, on the road right there?
```

```
1
              MR. HAINES:
                           Yes.
                                 Yes, it is.
                                               It's probably our
 2
   most remote satellite that we have. So their five years is
 3
   up and they're going to renew their license for another five
 4
   years.
 5
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: I can't believe it's been
 6
    five years.
 7
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: George, I pointed
 8
   out to the Board before when I was sitting in that chair
 9
    that even though the minisatellite at Santa Maria handles
10
    the least amount of any mini or satellite, it still produces
11
   bottom-line revenue for purses and commissions.
12
              MR. HAINES: Absolutely. Yes.
                                              They do about $2.6
13
   million a year in total handle, and then that filters down
14
    into all the other buckets that we have, CMC, Stable and
15
   Vanning, Board support, so --
16
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Do you have any comments
17
    you want to make or do you want to just take questions?
18
                           Just take questions.
              MR. HAINES:
19
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Any questions?
                                                 Is there a
20
   motion?
21
              COMMISSIONER MASS:
                                  Move.
22
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Mass makes a motion
23
   to approve the license.
24
              COMMISSIONER RUANO:
                                   Second.
25
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Is there a second? Commissioner
```

```
Ruano seconds.
                    There are only four of us, but that's
 2
   enough.
              Commissioner Ruano, how do you vote?
 3
              COMMISSIONER RUANO:
 4
                                   Yes.
 5
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Vice Chair Auerbach?
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Yes.
 6
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Chairman Winner votes yes.
 8
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Commissioner Choper votes yes?
 9
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yes.
10
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis votes yes?
11
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS:
                                  Yes.
              COMMISSIONER MASS:
12
                                  Yes.
13
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: And Commissioner Mass votes yes.
14
              It's unanimously approved. Thank you, George.
15
                           Thank you.
              MR. HAINES:
16
                               Item number 15, public hearing
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
17
    and action by the Board regarding the proposed addition of
18
    CHRB Rules 1859.1, Out-of-Competition Testing Procedures and
19
   Requirements, and 1869, Prohibit Drug Substance in Out-of-
20
    Competition Testing, and the proposed amendment to CHRB
21
   Rules 1585, Test Sample Required, 1859, Taking, Testing and
22
   Reporting of Samples, 1859.25, Split Sample Testing, 1867,
2.3
   Prohibited Veterinary Practices, to incorporate the
24
   Association of Racing Commissioners International, ARCI,
25
   model rule for out-of-competition testing into the CHRB's
```

rules and Regulations. This is -- this concludes the 45-day 2 public comment period. The Board may adopt the proposal as 3 presented. Do you want to, Jackie -- where's Jackie? 4 5 COMMISSIONER RUANO: Over there. 6 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Jackie, do you want to tell us 7 about the public comments during this period? 8 Jackie Wagner, CHRB Staff. MS. WAGNER: 9 During the public comment period Staff received several comments on the proposal that --10 11 VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Folks, we can't hear 12 anything at all. 13 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah. Can you please either 14 move outside if you want to discuss things, or move into 15 another room. Thank you. 16 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB Staff. 17 During the public comment period, Staff received 18 several comments on this proposal, which our counsel is 19 prepared to respond to, as well as our Equine Medical 20 Director. 21 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: And, Mr. Chairman, I 22 might point out that we have Counsel, Phil Laird, Deputy 23 General Counsel for Business Consumer Services and Housing 24 Agency with us today because when Phil was with the Horse 25 Racing Board, he drafted a lot of the language and changes

to existing language in a number of our rules that are 2 before you today. So Phil is here, along with Rob and, of 3 course, Dr. Arthur. And I know Dr. Arthur is prepared to 4 give kind of a big picture look at this. 5 But the way I characterize it, Doc, we've got to 6 be careful not to get caught up in some of the details that 7 miss the most significant one, which is, correct me if I'm wrong here, that whereas the Board has broad authority to 8 9 prosecute a post-race test, a positive finding in a post-10 race test, there's almost nothing we can do about something 11 we find in an out-of-competition test. Is that accurate or 12 close to accurate? 13 EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: It's accurate in 14 as we do not have regulations designed for out-of-15 competition testing. We have limited ability to prosecute out-of-competition testing with current regulations. 16 17 CHAIRMAN WINNER: All right, who's going to take the lead on this. 18 EOUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: 19 I'm going to 20 start off. I'm Dr. Arthur, Equine Medical Director. 21 I think there's, certainly reading some of the 22 public comments, there's a misunderstanding. So I'm going 2.3 to kind of review this and hope the Board indulges me with these comments. 24

Horse racing tests for more drugs at lower levels

25

than any other professional sport. Horse racing was a leader in sport drug testing for many years, but has fallen woefully behind as human sport anti-doping has leap-frogged us the last two or three decades. out-of-competition testing has been a major part of the advancement of human sport anti-doping strategies.

In reality, horse racing does not have a robust anti-doping program. It can be best described as a medication control program. Medication control is very important and necessary. We not only need to test for performance enhancing drugs, as is the focus in human sport testing, but for drugs that impact horse welfare and horse and jockey safety.

Rightfully, we have paid a lot of attention to drugs that impact horse welfare and horse and jockey safety this last decade and are continuing to do so. Horse racing must also deal with performance hindering drugs that could be used to stop a horse from its best performance which is not generally considered a problem in human sport.

Nearly 60 percent of all anti-doping tests in human sport are out-of-competition testing. Why is out-of-competition testing so important? Humans sport testing does a very good job of detecting relatively short-acting, small molecule drugs, and so does horse racing. Everyone knows that. Everyone also knows when we are going to test the

horses; right after the race. That is pretty easy to plan around if you are bent on cheating.

Many of the most effective performance enhancing drugs are gone well before race day when horses are tested, but the performance enhancing effects are still present.

The effects of many drugs can last well past the time the drug is still present, or present at detectable levels.

Anabolic steroids, beta-2 agonists, and blood doping agents are good examples.

And this should be clear to everyone, races are won in training. That is true in human sport. It is true in horse racing. Just as in human sport, we need to pay more attention to performance enhancing drugs being used in training and we can only do that by out-of-competition testing.

California does more out-of-competition testing than other racing authorities in the U.S., but it is still less than 15 percent of all the horses tested, and that is excluding TCO2 testing numbers.

Heretofore, our out-of-competition program has been more show than substance. Why? That is because we do not have regulations specifically designed for out-of-competition testing. Yes, we can check horses who have prescriptions for drugs we find in out-of-competition testing, and whether their veterinarians have properly

reported those prescriptions, but those are all paperwork violations, which usually result in warnings.

2.3

We started out-of-competition testing the first year I became Equine Medical Director Cal Expo Harness in 2007. We made clear we were focusing on blood doping agents, concerned at harness racing at that time, specifically EPI. Within a month a leading trainer and his veterinarian left Cal Expo for remarkable success back east. Was it is a coincidence the veterinarian was subsequently sanctioned for EPO-related violations? I don't think so.

We also identified clenbuterol and zilpaterol abuse with out-of-competition testing, anabolic steroid misuse, and even odd substances like GW1516. You can look it up. It's prohibited under the new rules under 1869(a)(12).

I was involved in developing the out-ofcompetition program we're discussing here today at RMTC, of
which TOC and CTT are members. The out-of-competition
program was approved unanimously at RMTC which makes the
CTT's and TOC's opposition here today somewhat bewildering.
This proposal protects their constituents from unscrupulous
competitors trying to take an unfair advantage. The CTT and
TOC should be this proposal's biggest supporters.

Very simply, the out-of-competition program we are discussing is based on the WADA, World Anti-Doping

Association, Prohibited Substances list, with generous, if anything overly generous, exceptions for a few drugs used in horse racing. What we are proposing is a real, recognizable anti-doping program. While structured differently, it is also consistent with international out testing provisions for horse racing under International Federation of Horseracing Authorities' Article 6.

Here is the question for this Board-and the leaders in this sport: Do you want a real anti-doping program or not? Bluntly, without an out-of-competition testing program with teeth, you won't have one.

I don't know about Commissioners, but I doubt I have gone a week in the 11-plus years I have been Equine Medical Director when I haven't had an owner, trainer or someone else inside the industry complain that we weren't doing enough to control doping. This is a major step forward for horse racing if horse racing wants to have a real anti-doping program.

Tomorrow, the Congressional hearing for H.R. 2651, the 2017 Horse Racing Integrity Act, will take place in Washington. What message is the CHRB and the California racing industry going to send to California for tomorrow's hearing?

I'll hand this off to Former Staff Counsel Phil Laird, who adapted the RCI Out-of-Competition Testing model

rule to California and CHRB's regulatory structure, and new Staff Counsel Bob -- Rob Brodnick, who will discuss some updates and corrections.

2.3

As usual, I work with Staff to answer all the comments from the public before this is submitted to OAL.

But if any Commissioners have any specific questions, I'd be happy to answer those after the comments from Staff Counsel and Phil Laird.

VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Before you go to them,

Dr. Arthur, would you please, in 25 words or less, would you

please explain to those of us listening without reading what

the practical difference is now between the regulation the

way we would like it to read and what exists now? What is

the essence of the difference?

the difference is that we've specifically identified those particular drugs that are prohibited, that's based on the WADA Prohibited List. We explained how that process works in terms of split samples, consistent with our rules and other things that Phil will be discussing in terms of the process and procedures. And other than that, there is an anabolic steroid provision that is very specific in the regulation that's consistent with what other states have adopted and international requirements, and those are the major difference. It basically lays out what is prohibited,

and so there's no misunderstanding.

2.3

VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: But we have that now, so you need to tell us, what is the difference between what we are proposing and what we already have. I know it's going to more specifically nail it down.

But in terms of responsibility for the parties, what is the difference between the way we do it now and the change that we're looking to make?

EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Well, first off, we do not have specifically listed what is prohibited and out-of-competition testing. All of our rules are designed for post-race testing or working for the vet, those sort of things that have specific rules.

We -- right now, that's really unclear, except for those drugs that are listed in a prohibited veterinary practice list which preceded our out-of-competition testing program. So they are not specifically laid out in the manner that we're talking about.

You look confused.

VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Well, I know specifically in looking at a lot of the questions that came up in the responses, a lot of the responses were just extrapolations of things that people feared were going to happen.

So what I'm trying to narrow it down to, what really are we talking about here? Because we all know the

prohibitions on the use of medication, so how does this differ from the way we look at medications? Is there a succinct way we can put this to help the community get where we're going?

2.3

really talking about, except for those drugs where there are exceptions, what we're talking about is people using compounds to dope. That is the basis of the WADA Prohibited Substance List. They specifically lay out those particular compounds that are never appropriate at any time. We have exceptions, as I said, for certain drugs under certain conditions that are permitted, similar to a therapeutic use exception in human sport.

VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Okay.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Is it safe to say,

Doc, that as opposed to post-race testing where it's very

clear in our rules, here's what you can do and here's what

you can't do, it's not clear in out-of-competition testing?

We don't have that same comparable list of prohibited

substances for out-of-competition testing?

EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: That's right.

And except for a very high threshold for cobalt, none of these are threshold drugs. We're not looking at regulating therapeutic medications. We're talking about anti-doping agents.

To get back to both Madeline's 1 CHAIRMAN WINNER: question and what Rick said, the key point here is that 2 3 we're looking, as I understand it, is that we're looking to 4 do -- to have out-of-competition testing requirements or 5 prohibited drugs tested laid out more clearly than they are today, because right now it's post-race testing that 6 7 clear, but out-of-competition testing isn't clear with 8 respect to what is acceptable and what is not acceptable. 9 And the idea is to prohibit drugs from being used, or compounded drugs from being used prior to a race that can 10 11 impact the outcome of the race that may not be tested in 12 post-race urine or blood; is that correct? 13 EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Actually, that's 14 correct. 15 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Thank you. 16 MR. LAIRD: Phil --17 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Phil? 18 MR. LAIRD: Phil Laird with the Business Consumer 19 Services and Housing Agency, as Rick said, formerly CHRB 20 Staff. 21 Honestly, I don't think I have much to add at this I really am here to answer any technical questions 22 23 about the language currently before you and flush out any concerns that you might have. 24 25 But I will just kind of reiterate that when we

drafted this language, we were trying to stay as consistent with the ARCI model rules with the idea being same as our 2 3 post-race testing programs that attempt consistency across 4 the country, that anybody coming to California will know 5 that they're playing by the same rules in California as any other state where ARCI model rules have been adopted. 6 7 CHAIRMAN WINNER: And it's also true, is it not, 8 that what we're trying to do, as we always try to do, is to 9 create a level playing field so that those owners, trainers, 10 horsemen who play by the rules do not have a -- are not at a 11 disadvantage to those that have found a way not to play by the rules; is that correct? 12 13 MR. LAIRD: Absolutely correct. 14 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. 15 Rob? COMMISSIONER SOLIS: These rule has been practiced 16 17 already in other states, too, right, like New York? 18 EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Well, it's been 19 adopted, I think, in seven states, the ARCI model rule. 20 it's up for adoption in two other states, major racing 21 states, as well. 22 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Actually, I have the 23 It's either been adopted or up for adoption in 24 Arkansas, Colorado, Delaware, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, 25 Massachusetts, Michigan, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania,

Washington, and West Virginia.

And I think it's probably a fair statement that since the effort to regulate horse racing on a federal level has been talked about, there has been increased attention given to out-of-competition testing along the lines of what you described, Doc. That's kind of the U.S. Anti-Doping Agency's cornerstone is out-of-competition testing.

And I would like you, Doc, to explain for the Commissioners the process a little bit. You mentioned RMTC, but I'm not sure everybody knows exactly who makes up RMTC.

EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Well, the RMTC is composed of 24, I think it is, industry leaders from RCI to TOC, HBPA, NYRA, Keeneland. And there's a group, a Scientific Advisory Group, of which I chaired for a long time. I actually chaired this Out-of-Competition Testing Committee where they have experts from around the country that advise the board. They take proposals to the board.

The board discusses them and then approves those, and then sends those as a recommendation to the RCI. That's your organization. And at RCI, they fiddle with it, as in terms of that process, trying to -- and it's a big challenge because California's regulatory language is done differently than Kentucky, so they try to put model rule together. You and I were involved in that.

And then it's proposed at the national meeting

```
where the public, again, all the representatives from
 2
   entities have an opportunity to comment on it, and all the
 3
    Commissioners get together. And then your group, I think
 4
    you vote for California, and it goes through that process.
 5
    That happened in December 2016. This was originally
 6
   proposed, you know, presented to this industry in March, in
 7
    California, March of 2016, right after it was approved by
 8
   RMTC to give an outline of this.
 9
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: And the RCI process
10
    is similar to ours in terms of the open meeting process.
11
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR:
                                               That's right.
12
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: And NARV, National
   Association of Racing Veterinarians, testified. Changes
13
14
   were made, actually, in response to certain proposals that
15
                The National HBPA, Thoroughbred Horsemen
   were made.
   Association, which is all the horse owner groups in the
16
17
   Northeast. It was, you know, it was quite a process.
18
              And after the model rule was changed, then it was
19
    up to us, and particularly Phil, to come back and
20
    incorporate those changes into our existing rules and regs.
21
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Right.
                                       TOC, Elizabeth; right?
22
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay.
23
                          I think we prefer to defer to Mr.
              MS. MOREY:
   Balch.
24
25
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Okay, Mr. Balch, they have
```

1 deferred. Why don't you come over and sit at the table, 2 Alan, and use Doc's mike? It's easier to see you that way. 3 MR. BALCH: Alan Balch, California Thoroughbred Trainers. 4 5 First of all, I would call your attention to the 6 very voluminous and detailed correspondence that we provided 7 you that's in your packet. And more recently when we 8 realized our subsequent summary letter was not in the 9 packet, we provided it by email to the Commissioners 10 yesterday, and I hope you had a chance to look at it. 11 I think to begin with we're missing the forest for 12 the trees here. Nobody has said so far, Dr. Arthur nor 13 anyone else, that both the CTT and the TOC agree with the 14 need for and the importance of out-of-competition testing. 15 So let's be clear about that. The impression that Dr. Arthur has left, whether intentionally or not, is that 16 17 somehow these organizations of ours condone or want to 18 permit cheating by out-of-competition testing or doping. 19 That is, of course, absolutely wrong, and our submissions 20 make that extremely clear. 21 I really don't know where to begin, but I will take a stab at it. 22 Let me just say before you do, 23 CHAIRMAN WINNER: 24 Alan, that I certainly, and I'm only speaking for myself, 25 did not conclude from Dr. Arthur's remarks or anything that

he's written that he thinks the TOC or CTT condones doping or is opposed to out-of-competition testing in general.

2.3

MR. BALCH: Well, I'm very glad to hear you say that because the very first remarks that Dr. Arthur gave were along the lines of he was shocked that TOC and CTT and their constituencies would object to these proposed rules.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: But that doesn't mean that you favor doping.

MR. BALCH: That is absolutely right, and that's the point I want to emphasize. And thank you for assisting me in calling attention to it.

We would also question whether there is no robust, which, to use Dr. Arthur's word, anti-doping in California.

We believe we have some of the most robust, excellent testing and preventive measures to prevent doping, cheating, in the entire world. We have heard Dr. Arthur at other -- in other testimony make that very clear. So I want to emphasize that, too.

He makes the point that human sport is really the model for this, coming from the World Anti-Doping Agency and the United States Anti-Doping Agency. If you read our submissions carefully, you will see that therein lies a lot of the problem here, because there's been an attempt through RMTC, which we do participate in, and I'll come back to that in a little while, to graft onto human anti-doping

procedures and WADA and USADA this equine program. Of course, there are tremendous differences.

I am the Past President of the National Governing Body for Non-Racing Equestrian Sport in the United States. When the WADA and USADA rules came forward, I hope you can all recognize as, probably, sports fans, as I am, the great difference in out-of-competition testing for human athletes who have to be identified by their national governing bodies and short listed and so forth for world and major championships, including Olympics, and have their places, their entire itineraries disclosed to the National Governing Bodies, to USADA, to WADA so that they can be, humans, can be tested at any time, day or night, wherever they are in training. That is very important. I agree with Dr. Arthur on that.

But part of the problem in the rule as it is now written is the access to horses and the responsibility for horses, depending on where they are. Because unlike human doping or alleged doping, the human is responsible for the human. In the case of horses, there's somebody else responsible for the horse, an owner, a trainer, a caretaker, somebody else.

And if you've read our submission, you realize that has led to certain problems, potential problems which we are 100 percent ready, willing and able to work with this

Board and Dr. Arthur and anybody else you assign to it, to work these problems out so we have a workable responsibility should illicit substances be administered to a horse or should any attempt be made to administer illicit substances to a horse.

Let me turn to the RMTC for a moment. The Racing Medication Testing Consortium is supported by horsemen. We were present at the one or two meetings where this discussed, frankly not even in as much detail as it is being discussed here at this meeting. We did vote for -- in favor of out-of-competition testing for the reasons that I have just described, but we did register on the record informally and formally some of the same qualms that we are expressing here today.

I think I can say without fear of contradiction that the so-called model rule is not identical in many states which have adopted out-of-competition testing or which are considering adopting it. I think Dr. Arthur's testimony just now indicates that, that because California is different and every state is different, have different bodies of rules, things have to be made consistent state by state by state.

Yes, Professor Choper?

COMMISSIONER CHOPER: How are you going about it?

25 I'm pretty clear on everything you've said.

```
1
              I want to ask, can I ask Dr. Arthur just a quick
 2
   question?
              Do you disagree with anything he said?
 3
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR:
 4
                                               I disagree.
 5
    There are so many misstatements from Mr. Balch that it's not
 6
    even -- I don't know where to start. And I just think, you
 7
    know, it's very typical of this particular process.
 8
              I'd like to remind you that this started -- first
 9
   of all, I have the minutes of that meeting, and I don't want
10
    to waste time on that.
11
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER:
                                    That's fine.
12
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: So I'm not going
13
   to argue those points.
14
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Let's go forward.
15
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Let's just
    remember this, this was passed at RCI in December 2016.
16
17
   was presented to this industry originally in March of 2016
18
    from the RMTC. It came before this Board in February of
19
         And here we are today, and we go through this whole
              It's a delay tactic.
20
   process.
21
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Wait.
                                       Wait.
                                              Wait.
                                                      Dr. Arthur,
22
           Commissioner Choper asked you a specific question.
23
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: How do you --
24
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Well, yes, he
25
   asked me, is there anything --
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                How do you suggest --
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- I disagree
 2
 3
   with, and I said there was a lot.
 4
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yeah.
                                           Okay.
 5
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, but wait. But he just --
 6
   excuse me just a second. Mr. Balch has made a statement,
 7
    okay? Commissioner Choper asked if you disagree with
 8
    anything that he said so far, and we'd like you to just
 9
    respond. Of the things that he said so far, what do you
10
   disagree with?
11
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Well, I --
12
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Not -- don't -- it's not a
13
   history of the --
14
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yeah, let's forget the
15
   history --
16
                                Right.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
17
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: -- because we've got to move
18
    forward on this thing.
19
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Okay, in terms of
20
    the specifics, every -- he is correct. Every state has a
21
   little bit different twist on it.
22
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER:
                                    Okay.
23
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: That's why we
24
   don't have --
25
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Uniformity.
```

```
1
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- a single model
 2
   rule.
 3
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yeah.
                                           Yeah.
                                                   Yeah.
                                                          Yeah.
 4
   Yeah.
 5
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: And it's why Phil
   Laird had to spend so much time adopting it to our
 6
 7
   particular regulations.
 8
              So -- but I will say that we still, even today, we
 9
   have the authority to test horses off site. There's a big,
10
   big brew-ha-ha over this. The only place we've tested
11
   horses out-of-competition offsite are out of state in
   Kentucky facilities, Fair Hill, in France, England and
12
13
    Ireland.
              Those are the only places we've done out-of-
14
    competition offsite, even though we have the authority to do
15
    it here. It's to a big part of this.
                                           The model rule is
    really designed to include harness racing, which are trained
16
17
    offsite. And we changed our regulations so we could try to
18
    address the quarter horse clenbuterol problem, if you
19
    remember.
20
              But being -- having said that, in terms of
21
    specifics, it is not different than, in terms of the
22
    outcome, the RCI model rule and what was presented at RMTC.
23
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Hey, can I ask you both a
24
              What should we do going forward in order to get
    question?
25
```

this pushed forward, at least, with general agreement, all

right, between the effected parties? 2 EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Well, I could 3 tell you very simply, you approve this. You approve this proposal as you voted and approved on it --4 5 COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yeah. Yeah. Sure. 6 EOUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- before and it 7 will go forward. OAL will require us to answer all of the 8 comments that you've seen in your packet. We do that. Sometimes on the -- the third-party Lasix, I think it took 9 10 us eight months to answer all those 100-plus questions on that. And OAL will look and see if we have adequately 11 12 answered all of those questions. And if we have, it will become a regulation. If not, you'll get it back. 13 14 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Alan? 15 MR. BALCH: Yes, Alan Balch again. Thank you for 16 this opportunity because I think you just put your finger on 17 the issue. 18 Dr. Arthur and I have appeared on opposing sides 19 of many issues like this before. We are not trying to delay 20 this. We are trying to do exactly the opposite. 21 I think this Board has found that what we have 22 suggested many times, the fastest way to getting a rule 2.3 through OAL without this laborious eight-month process of answering individual questions and deciding whether or not 24

the Racing Board decided things right was to get us all in

25

```
the same room, either in a working group, which we have
 2
   proposed, or another Committee meeting that is dedicated
 3
    solely to this that would be a working Committee meeting. We
   recommend the working group rather than the Committee
 4
 5
   because we think people feel much more at ease and able to
 6
   put their positions forward without fear of any kind of
 7
    contradiction or retribution or anything, and go through
 8
    these very important issues. Some are more important than
 9
    others, to be sure, some are details, so that we can come
    forward.
10
11
                                (Off mike.) (Indiscernible.)
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
12
              MR. BALCH:
                          It did go to a Committee, yes, but we
13
    specifically, if you read our submission --
14
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                I read your --
15
              MR. BALCH: -- our lengthy submission --
16
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: I read it. I'm asking a
17
    question. It already went through Committee?
18
                          It went through a Committee, but we
              MR. BALCH:
19
   both, I believe TOC and CTT on the records both reserved our
20
    right to comment in detail because of the time --
21
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Right.
22
              MR. BALCH: -- that it took.
23
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: You always have your right to
24
    comment in detail.
25
                          No, I understand, but in detail on all
              MR. BALCH:
```

```
these things, because this is a very elaborate rule.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, what is it that --
 2
              MR. BALCH: And it raises a lot of issues.
 3
 4
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- just to follow up on
 5
    Commissioner Choper's, what is it, aside from having another
 6
   meeting to discuss this and then go back out, then have a
 7
   motion, then go back to the Board, and then go back out for
 8
   public hearing, and then come back to the Board, and then
 9
    start this process all over again for the fourth year, what
10
    is it specifically that you think needs to be changed?
11
    then it's up to use to decide whether it's worth delaying
12
    this again in order to make the changes that you think are
13
    critical or unacceptable in the proposed measure?
14
              MR. BALCH: Well, the critical changes, of course,
15
   are there in our correspondence.
16
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: I know that. But let's assume
17
   we --
18
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Synopsize them.
19
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Yeah.
20
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Synopsize them.
21
              MR. BALCH: Well, looking at our letter of June
22
    the 6th, the Racing Board has not provided evidence of
23
   necessity for all of the proposed rule changes. And it's
24
   very detailed as far as --
25
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, let's get to the rule
```

```
changes that you have a concern about. I mean --
 2
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Well, you know, that --
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- necessity doesn't -- okay.
 3
   We don't --
 4
 5
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: That's part of the
 6
   problem.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yes.
 8
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: We have the right to do
 9
   it if we woke up --
10
              MR. BALCH: Well, absolutely.
11
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- this morning and felt
12
    like making rules.
              MR. BALCH: You --
13
14
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: So let's not --
15
              MR. BALCH: You absolutely do.
16
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- let's not obliterate
17
    the process by throwing all this junk at it. Let's be
18
   really candid here. That's a lot of blah, blah, blah.
19
              MR. BALCH:
                          Well --
20
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Get specific.
21
              MR. BALCH: -- we --
22
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: What is the problem?
23
        MR. BALCH: -- we respectfully disagree.
24
             VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: I know that. But what is
25
   the real problem?
```

```
1
              MR. BALCH:
                          Well, there are --
 2
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: You have a right to speak.
 3
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Come on.
 4
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: But you already waived your -- I
 5
   mean, you didn't waive your right to speak, but you -- okay.
 6
              MR. BALCH: Well, I believe --
 7
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Let's let Alan answer the
 8
    questions. And then if you have something to say, then you
 9
    can answer the question.
10
              MR. BALCH: I believe the specifics are set forth
11
   very clearly in our letter of June the 6th.
12
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. But we're asking you now,
13
    synopsize it, Alan, so that everybody on this Board, without
14
   going through all the gobbledy-gook, what is it in the
15
   proposed rule --
16
                                       That is so objectionable.
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
17
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- that is so objectionable to
18
   you --
19
              MR. BALCH:
                          Well --
20
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- that we should start this
21
   process all over again?
22
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Thank you.
23
              MR. BALCH:
                          -- we're not suggesting you start it
24
   all over again.
25
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Oh, yes, you are.
```

MR. BALCH: Well, if we read the staff analysis properly, there have already been some changes to it that may require re-noticing and --

2.3

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, that may be, then we'll have to.

MR. BALCH: But the distinction on horses who are trained in locations that are not under CHRB supervision is critical and one that we raised at the RMTC, because it's very important in any kind of a rule to prevent cheating that the responsible parties be identified. That's been a standing problem with every iteration of the out-of-competition rules that we have seen in any state. In other words, who's responsible for the horse once he leaves the racetrack?

I think Dr. Arthur, perhaps in a weak moment, once recognized that that was a real problem. Because if we have cheaters, we want to have a way of identifying who's really responsible in order to deter cheating and not catch up people who are not cheaters in the net. So that is a critical problem.

We believe that there are issues on the compounded medications. This has been an issue amongst the racetrack veterinarians and the RMTC. The RMTC has taken a very strong stand against all compounded medications, legally -- many of which are legally compounded medications and can be

properly used for therapy. I noticed that Dr. Arthur is saying no.

2.3

EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: For the record, that is a misinterpretation and absolutely incorrect in all aspects, Alan.

The RMTC, as well as myself, all Equine Medical Directors recognize the need for compounded medications. We know that most -- many medications are compounded today, I'm not going to get into the details why that's necessary. But what we are trying to stop are issues, like what happened in Louisiana, where veterinarians had dermorphin, frog venom, compounded and resulted in all those violations. I can go through the Weatherford Compounding Pharmacy issue, as well.

What we're talking about is prohibiting drugs that are illegal to compound. We're not talking about therapeutic drugs that can be legally compounded. And quite frankly, it would be a little bit ridiculous for this Board to approve illegally, improperly compounded drugs that were not properly prescribed, allow those within any -- any of your enclosures.

COMMISSIONER CHOPER: What would happen if we had a small group in which you participated, and someone from the TOC was in this group, and someone from the CTT was in this group, and you see if you can come to any agreement as to these things? If you can't --

```
1
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: With all due
 2
   respect --
 3
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: -- then I guess it goes back
 4
   into our laps.
 5
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: With all due --
 6
   with all due respect --
 7
                                Well, what you're suggesting --
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
 8
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: With all due
   respect --
 9
10
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Hold on a second please, with
11
    all due respect.
12
              I'd like the Chairman of the Committee to respond
13
   to Commissioner Choper.
14
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Jesse --
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yes?
15
16
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- do you really think we
17
    don't get together and pull this stuff apart, up one side
    and down another?
18
19
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yeah, I do.
20
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: We do that and we've done
21
   that.
22
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: I do.
23
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: And it's always the same
24
    story.
25
              COMMISSIONER CHOPER: What happens?
```

1 VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: What happens is we think 2 we've got it worked out, we come up with a regulation, only 3 to find out that somebody objects to this comma here or this 4 period here or --5 COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Who is somebody, like 6 somebody who isn't on a Committee? 7 VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Whatever. 8 COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Oh, someone outside? 9 VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Not necessarily. Well, 10 not necessarily. Look how long it took to get third-party 11 I mean, it was a slam dunk, and I sat there for four 12 years, and there were people who did it before I did, before 13 we actually got it done. 14 So this is the kind of thing that's difficult for 15 us to deal with. We do not, first of all, we do not ignore anybody in these meetings. Everybody's invited. And we do 16 17 make it --18 COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Good. 19 VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- very clear that we 20 want everybody to speak. Now, I can't speak to people not 21 feeling comfortable. I don't think it's very intimidating 22 in our meetings. I know you're talking about working 23 Maybe Alan is going to correct me now. 24 MR. BALCH: No, I agree. I just want to state on 25 the record for the transcript, which what Dr. Arthur just

```
leaned over and whispered to me, "Alan, you should be
    ashamed of yourself," quote unquote. And, "Alan, if Bob
 2
 3
    Straub were here to see what you're saying now, you would
   never be able to live with yourself," or words to that
 4
 5
   effect.
 6
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Let's not make it
   personal, guys.
 7
 8
                          Well, I'm only --
              MR. BALCH:
 9
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: But let's not.
              MR. BALCH: -- I'm mentioning it, Commissioner
10
11
   Auerbach, in all seriousness because it indicates a
   prejudicial attitude, and I think it's uncalled for.
12
13
              COMMISSIONER RUANO: What's the difference between
14
    a working group and a Committee?
15
                          The working group is, in my opinion,
              MR. BALCH:
    where we can have more people and be in a more relaxed
16
17
    atmosphere, where we can really exchange views.
    Commissioner Auerbach --
18
                                Well, basically --
19
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
20
              MR. BALCH: -- herself came to a working group --
21
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- basically the difference is,
22
    excuse me for interrupting, for your -- in response to your
23
    question, a working group does not require public notice.
24
    Committee meeting requires public notice.
25
   essentially the difference. In a working group, we sit
```

```
around a table and we discuss various issues.
                                                   And only two
 2
   members of the Board can be present, I believe only two
   members of the Board --
 3
 4
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Right.
 5
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- can be present --
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Otherwise it's
 6
 7
    (indiscernible).
 8
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- at a working group. And then
 9
    other people who are essentially invited participate in a
10
                 In a public meeting or a Committee meeting,
    discussion.
11
    that's a public meeting and the members of that Committee
12
    are there. And a third Commissioner can show up but can't
    speak, as I understand it, but members of the public are
13
14
              So that's essentially the difference.
    invited.
15
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: And I think one of the
    reasons, Jesse, that we're trying to get Mr. Balch to be
16
17
   very, very specific about what his concerns are is so that
18
    we can air that out right now, address it, and talk very
19
    specifically about what in this language is problematic for
20
    the trainers. And I'm sure that Greg will get up here and
21
   tell us --
                                Well, yeah. We might as well --
22
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
23
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- what the --
24
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- have Greg -- I mean, you keep
25
   raising your hand.
```

```
1
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       This would be easier --
 2
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Why don't you come on up?
 3
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- if you'd come up here,
 4
    Greg.
 5
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                I'm calling on you, Greg.
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: We want specifics.
 6
                                                            We
 7
   want to fix it.
 8
              Alex?
 9
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS: We had two meetings already
10
    and --
11
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       We have had numerous
12
   meetings on this already.
13
              COMMISSIONER SOLIS: -- meetings. And we talked
14
    about decent, you ask different trainers, they tell you,
15
   they all have different opinions. And this is never going
    to be done. And it's already done in other states.
16
17
    it's very important for the horses, even jockeys.
18
   you're talking about life here.
19
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       You're right, Alex.
20
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Ninety-nine
21
   percent of the trainers, this will never, ever effect.
    it's the one percent it will effect that we're interested
22
23
   in.
24
              When you talk about this process, this is really
25
   silliness, and I'm just going to tell you, I'm fed up with
```

```
This started in 2014.
    it.
                                There were working groups, not
 2
    just veterinarians or Equine Medical Directors, in the RMTC
 3
    that worked on this with horsemen, with representatives from
 4
   around the country. Remember, we're trying to get a uniform
 5
   policy here. People worked together. We went back and
 6
    forth numerous times at RMTC and we talked about it, went
 7
   back. We changed it. We finally had gotten an agreement
   with all, unanimously, at the RMTC before it was sent to
 8
 9
   RCI. RCI worked on it until -- I don't know whether it was
10
   unanimous at RCI until they got on it. And that -- and it
11
    was presented here a year-and-a-half ago. And then we're
12
    coming up with
13
              You -- if you don't see how you're being played,
14
   well, I don't, you know, I don't know what to do.
15
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: I don't quite understand that.
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: But, yeah,
16
17
   because --
18
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: What do you mean, being played?
19
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- because having
20
   working groups, Mr. Chairman --
21
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: No, but --
22
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- we have worked
23
   on this issue --
24
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                I understand.
25
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- and worked on
```

```
this issue --
 2
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: I understand.
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- and worked on
 3
 4
   this issue.
 5
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: But what is the advantage?
 6
   mean, when you say being played, I mean, I understand the
 7
    last issue that has a lot of discussion, I can understand
 8
   that argument possibly being made. I'm not sure why -- what
 9
   is -- why is it to the CTT or TOC's advantage when you say
10
   being played? What's to their advantage?
11
              EOUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Here's the issue.
12
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah.
13
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: They have had
14
    opportunity, opportunity. And what they do, and you've seen
15
   it --
16
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: No, no.
                                         Here --
17
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: Their advantage
18
    is it will delay having this regulation in place.
19
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: But why is that to their
20
    advantage?
21
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: I don't know.
22
                               What I'm trying to get at is --
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
23
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: They've convinced
24
   themselves --
25
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- why is it to their advantage
```

```
to delay?
 2
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: If you look at --
 3
   if you look at some of the comments that they looked at,
   this is one of these things where they have blown it out of
 4
 5
   proportion. It's comments on the -- the comments on the
 6
   compounded drugs are a perfect example. They've totally
 7
   misunderstood it --
 8
                                Well, I --
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
 9
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- and convinced
10
    themselves where it is something it's not.
11
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: I get your point. I understand
12
   your point.
              What I'm trying to get at, just like I'm trying to
13
14
    get Mr. Balch, and Vice Chair Auerbach and others are trying
15
   to get Mr. Balch to be specific, I'm trying to get you to be
    specific, as well. Mr. Balch --
16
17
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: In terms of what?
18
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Wait.
                                       Wait. Wait.
19
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: You have a lot
20
    of --
21
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Just wait.
                                            Wait.
22
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- specific
23
   regulations here.
24
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Wait a second, please.
25
   Mr. Balch to be specific about what's in it that he
```

specifically thinks is not acceptable to the CTT. 2 I'm asking you to answer the question, why would 3 the CTT think it's to their advantage to play, to game this 4 or to delay it? What is to -- why is it to their advantage? EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: You know, 5 sometimes the CTT moves in bewildering ways. 6 They're horse 7 trainers, let's face it. I mean, I've been in the game --8 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Negative? 9 EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- I've been in the game all my life. 10 11 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okav. EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: And I know 12 13 somebody has convinced themselves that something terrible is 14 going to happen about this, the same game they played with 15 third-party Lasix. It took two years, two years to make a more complicated regulation. 16 17 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Look, I appreciate your --18 VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Frustration. 19 CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- frustration and your concern 20 and the time that this has taken and so forth, but I'm 21 trying to ask a simple question. And the simple question is 22 you believe that they are trying to game the system, at 2.3 least that's what you just said, and I'm trying to 24 understand what their motivation would be in gaming the 25 system.

```
EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR:
 1
                                               I think you're
 2
   going to have to ask them.
 3
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Okay.
 4
              MR. BALCH:
                          Our answer is very simple. We believe
 5
   you can get to a better rule faster, much, much faster by
 6
   addressing the issues --
 7
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                What is it in the rule that you
 8
    think would be better?
              MR. BALCH: We have 352 pages or something --
 9
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: I don't want to --
10
              MR. BALCH: -- in our submission.
11
12
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- sit here and read 352 pages.
13
              MR. BALCH:
                          I know.
                                   I know.
14
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: But the problem is --
15
                          That's why we need a working group.
              MR. BALCH:
16
              MR. AVIOLI: I have a question.
17
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: No, no, there's too much.
18
    The problem is, and I read every word of it, there's too
19
   much baloney in it, okay? There's too much baloney.
20
    all adults. We're all professional, I think.
21
   much baloney in it.
22
              If you cut to the chase and tell us what the heart
23
    of your concern is, then we can address it. And we want to
24
    address it and we want to work with you and we want it to be
25
    right, there's just too much fluff in it. Give me the ten
```

items. What is that you have difficulty with? 2 Greq, maybe you can tell us, please. 3 MR. AVIOLI: Is the microphone on? Thank you. 4 Greg Avioli, TOC. 5 Before I answer that question, if I may, I just want, first of all, just a couple responses to Dr. Arthur. 6 7 Good news, I wasn't in charge of the TOC at 8 December of 2016, so I'm not too concerned what the TOC did 9 or didn't do with RMTC at that point. I can tell you that 10 when Elizabeth came to a meeting on this subject in 11 February, it was very clear that she ask and reported that 12 day. We want -- we have a lot of comments; right? We're 13 going to work through them. 14 In May, we joined with the TOC. In May, okay, 15 we're talking two months ago, we joined with a law firm that paid over -- we paid over \$50,000, that's how important this 16 17 is, to the CTT and the owners and we submitted a letter. 18 date, there has been no response. 19 Three months -- three weeks ago, we followed up at 20 my suggestion and said here are the high points of our 21 Because I sat down, it's 50 pages or whatever, concerns. 22 and we sent that. We asked multiple times, can we have a meeting to discuss it? Dr. Arthur is clearly personally 23 24 invested in this, as we've seen. We heard he wrote it. 25 hear -- we know he's a big supporter of USADA and WADA,

```
1
    that's great. But if he was so invested in it, what kept
 2
    somebody from responding; right? What kept somebody -- the
 3
   letter from June 5th is only three pages long and it bullet
   points exactly what you asked for. There has been no --
 4
 5
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                           We have an open
 6
   meeting process.
 7
              MR. AVIOLI: That's fine.
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
 8
                                           And so you submit
 9
   those comments during public comment.
10
              MR. AVIOLI: Right.
11
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                           Then they're brought
12
   to this forum --
13
              MR. AVIOLI: Right.
14
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: -- and you do what
15
   you're doing now.
16
              MR. AVIOLI: But --
17
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: We don't -- Staff
18
    doesn't go and --
19
              MR. AVIOLI: -- you don't respond?
20
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: -- and do a sidebar.
21
              MR. AVIOLI:
                           Okay.
22
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                           Well, because if we
23
   respond to one of the eight --
24
              MR. AVIOLI: That's fine. So but then when --
25
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                           -- participants --
```

```
MR. AVIOLI: -- Mr. Balch tries to review the
 1
 2
    comments, he gets told, simplify them.
 3
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, what --
 4
              MR. AVIOLI: Well, let me give you --
 5
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: There's nothing in here.
 6
             MR. AVIOLI: -- let me give you (indiscernible).
 7
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. You put in a bunch of
 8
    comments that's in your three pages. All we asked Mr. Balch
 9
    for is, tell us what those are.
10
             MR. AVIOLI: So let me read to you from --
11
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: So would you please read us --
12
             MR. AVIOLI: -- let --
13
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- what they are?
14
             MR. AVIOLI: -- let me read you, from Rule 1869,
15
    it's on page 1589 of your materials. "The owner of a horse
    that is not physically under the care and custody of another
16
17
   person licensed by the Board," I'm assuming that means
18
    trainer, "shall be the absolute insurer of and responsible
19
    for the condition of the horse, regardless of the acts of
20
    third parties." The owner becomes the absolute insurer of
21
    anything that happens. Mr. Baedeker says that's always been
22
    the case. I have 15 people on the TOC Board who disagree.
23
    That is a major issue. We've raised it. It's in the
24
   letter.
25
             EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                           I'm not sure when I
```

```
1
    said that.
                I'm not sure when it said. But, as a matter of
 2
    fact, we have discussed that internally.
 3
              MR. AVIOLI: Right.
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: I understand it's a
 4
 5
   big deal for you guys.
 6
              MR. AVIOLI:
                           So --
 7
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: And so we would be
 8
   willing to, you know --
 9
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       We're taking it out.
10
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: -- suggest to the
11
   Board --
12
              MR. AVIOLI: Well, that's --
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: -- that we can take
13
14
   that language out.
15
              MR. AVIOLI: So I'm frustrated.
                                               I thought I had
    an understanding with multiple people sitting before me that
16
17
    this rule was going to go back for calm discussion in a
18
    Committee. What I hear today is a rush, because some people
19
    are frustrated, that we have to do this now.
20
              Let me -- let the record note that California
21
    spends more money and does more out-of-competition testing
22
    than any state in the union. Let me also tell you that if
    you look at your own materials and you look under Board
2.3
24
    Support on 16.1, we went from $11.8 million in 2010 to $14.2
25
   million this year, not counting the additional $1.5 million
```

the state puts on top of it.

So what -- and finally, Rick, you said at the start, you know, Dr. Arthur, explain what this does?

Because, you know, some people are getting too caught up in the details. Well, I get paid to get caught up in the details. And we agree, out-of-competition testing is a good thing. We support it. We want the rule to go through. I don't think -- if it was such a problem and we could have wiped it away with the owner insurer, why didn't someone just call and say, Greg, we're going to take care of it?

Let's take care of it now.

You put us in a position time and time again to come up. And I do agree with Mr. Balch that the clear connotation from Dr. Arthur was that the TOC is being extemporaneous or how could we not support this. We look at rules; right? Because as you said, one day, everyone's going to be gone in this room and the rule is still going to live on. And if there's a problem in that rule, it's going to come back to who was in charge of the TOC and the CTT when that rule got passed? That is why we asked that this rule be sent back to Committee. We think it can be resolved.

The last point, I think the hair follicle test was a very important point that Mr. Balch raised. Does this envision switching the hair follicle testing or not? If --

VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: In the document.

2.3

MR. AVIOLI: If it's in the document, it's a major expansion if it does. If the answer is, no, it doesn't, why didn't somebody pick up the phone and call us to say it doesn't? But nobody responded

VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Okay. Okay. Let's do this because this is going nowhere.

If we schedule another meeting, I'm saying if, because if we decide this is just too hot to handle right now, I am always available to have the meetings. I am always there, and people wish I weren't there. I want a very specific list and agenda of what you find objectionable, and I want it paired down. I will not read a 40-page lawyers letter, like you sent last time, because I looked at it, read it and threw it up in the air. Because quite frankly, a lot of it was billable hours that had nothing to do with anything that means anything for racing. I don't care about lawyers letters and I don't care about all of the fluff.

I care about the horses, period, end of report, and the people that ride them and work around them. That's all I care about. And I will be happy to hold a meeting, not a working group, a meeting with everybody sitting at the table and telling me specifically what the problem is with each and every issue that both groups have. I am willing to

```
do it, but I am willing to do it once and sit there until we
 2
    figure it out, because I am not going to go around for the
 3
   next three years trying to resolve this.
 4
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, let me ask you, if I may,
 5
   let me ask Staff or our lawyers a question.
 6
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Sorry.
 7
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: If we make any changes,
 8
    including the change that was just suggested with respect to
 9
    owners' liability, does it have to go back out for public
10
   hearing or public comment?
11
              MR. BRODNICK: Yes.
12
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: And is it 7 days or 45 days?
              MR. BRODNICK: Robert Brodnick, California Horse
13
14
   Racing Board.
15
              It would have to go back out, but we think it
16
   would be 15 days.
17
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: How many?
18
              MR. BRODNICK: Fifteen.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: And if there were substantial
19
20
    changes made or more substantive changes, as is being
21
    suggested here, how long would it have to go out?
22
              MR. LAIRD: As long as it's related to what was
23
   actually proposed initially.
24
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: In the language? So it would be
25
   considered --
```

```
1
              MR. LAIRD:
                          As long as it's still concerning out-
 2
   of-competition testing, it's only a 15-day comment period.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: So that's considered a technical
 3
 4
    change, so to speak?
 5
              MR. LAIRD:
                          Yes.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: And then it's a 15-day?
 6
 7
                          That's correct. Yes.
              MR. LAIRD:
 8
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: All right.
 9
              COMMISSIONER RUANO: So --
10
                               And if it goes to Committee, if
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
11
    it goes back to Committee? And, obviously, Alex would have
12
    to agree to participate in that as well.
13
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: He would.
14
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: If it goes back to Committee,
15
   then whatever changes are made in Committee comes back to
    the Board, and then it goes out for 15 or 45 days?
16
17
              MR. LAIRD: Fifteen.
18
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay.
19
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: So if we have a
20
    Committee meeting on July, which we had talked about, on
21
    July 20th, I think it is, the Friday --
22
              MS. WAGNER:
                           Right.
23
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                            Yeah.
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
24
                                        That's at Del Mar.
25
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                            That's at Del Mar.
```

```
So we had talked about having a Medication Meeting at that
 2
   point. And if changes are made that relate to this,
 3
    substantially relate to this current rule, then it can come
 4
   back to the Board in August to go out for 15 days; is that
 5
   right?
 6
              MR. LAIRD:
                          That is correct.
 7
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                           Okay. And then it
 8
   goes out --
 9
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: And then it gets voted on again,
    and then it goes to AOL --
10
11
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Well, do we -- what
12
   kind process --
13
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- OAL.
14
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: -- what kind of --
15
   after that meeting, Board says, yes, send it out for 15
    days, right now when the Board says send it out for public
16
17
    comment, don't we have to go through Agency and all that
18
    stuff?
19
              MR. LAIRD:
                          I quess as a member of Agency, I can
20
    answer that. As far as 15-day modifications, you know, I'm
21
   here today. We'll clearly be paying attention, monitoring
22
   when that notice goes out. But it's not typical or Agency
23
   to review and approve 15-day modifications. We only approve
24
   the --
25
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                            Let me just --
```

```
1
              MR. LAIRD: -- final rulemaking files.
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
 2
                                            -- ask it more
 3
    simply.
              If this was dealt with at July 20th -- on July
 4
 5
    20th at a Committee meeting, do you think -- and the changes
 6
   were within the scope of permissible to keep it at 15 days,
 7
    do you think it could be back to the Board for the August
 8
   meeting, and then immediately go back out for 15 days?
 9
              MR. LAIRD:
                          Absolutely.
10
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: I just have one comment,
11
             If we do this and you guys --
12
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Let's do it in a collegial
13
   way --
14
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Yeah.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- if we do this.
15
                                       Well, forget that.
16
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
17
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: We can do it sooner
18
    than that, too.
19
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       We're always going to --
20
   we're like families, we're allowed to yell at each other.
21
              If we do this, I want to know that if you tell me
22
    something from the TOC's standpoint or you tell me something
    from the trainers' standpoint, I am not going to hear, but I
2.3
   have to go back to my board, because I'm not interested in
24
25
    that. You are going to have to be the insurer to me and to
```

```
Alex that this is your community's opinion and they'll be
    fine with it, so we don't have an additional delay.
 2
 3
              MR. AVIOLI: Greg Avioli, TOC.
 4
              Jut on that point, what I will tell you is
 5
   whatever I tell you will be the position of the TOC.
                                                           Ι
    cannot say going into this meeting that we're going to be
 6
 7
    fine with it, but what we want is the hearing. We want the
             Let's see if we can work it out. I think we can.
 8
   meeting.
 9
                                       Well, but --
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
10
              MR. AVIOLI: I can't quarantee you.
11
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                      -- Grea --
12
              MR. AVIOLI: I don't know what your compromise is.
13
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: No, no, no, no, no,
    that's not what I meant. What I meant was when you make
14
15
   your opinion known --
16
             MR. AVIOLI: Yeah.
17
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- you will be
    representing your board, and I don't have to worry about you
18
19
    saying, after we put all our ducks in a row --
20
              MR. AVIOLI:
                           Sure.
21
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- Alan signs off on it,
22
    you sign off on it, and then one you guys say, by the way, I
23
   have to take it back to my board, I don't want to hear that.
24
              MR. AVIOLI: I'll go one step further, I'll bring
25
   my chairman with me.
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Or that you come back at the
 2
   next meeting and say, well, we've changed our position --
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
 3
                                       Yeah.
 4
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- or there's something new.
 5
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       I just want everybody to
 6
   be there and to say we're okay with this or we're not --
 7
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: All right.
 8
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: -- or we're going to
 9
   walk, okay?
10
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: You know --
11
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Thank vou.
12
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- I would like
13
   to comment. We go through a very long process that is
14
    required by the Office of Administrative Law in terms of
15
   noticing hearings, having this language. This specific
16
    language was presented to this Board in February, February
17
    2017, not February 2018. This has been out there for, what
18
    is it, 17 months, and now we're coming to this particular
19
           This is what I find so frustrating.
   point.
20
                                       Well, Dr. Arthur, maybe I
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
21
    didn't do my job well enough. Maybe Alex didn't do his job
22
   well enough.
23
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: No, it's not you.
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
24
                                       Let's --
25
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: It's not you.
```

```
VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
 1
                                       Because I don't --
              EOUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: It's the --
 2
 3
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: But I don't want to --
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- horsemen that
 4
 5
   are coming in at the last minute and putting up a roadblock.
 6
              You know, I don't care. I understand where you're
 7
   going with this thing.
 8
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: No. Where I'm going with
 9
    it --
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: I think the edits
10
11
    are very -- I think the edits to solve what I've seen as
12
    issues with them are pretty simple because they don't
13
   understand what they were talking about, some of them, and
    some of them could be corrected --
14
15
              MR. AVIOLI: I object to that.
16
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- could be
17
   corrected --
18
              MR. AVIOLI: I've had just about enough of Dr.
19
   Arthur.
20
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- corrected,
21
    corrected --
22
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Okay. Enough already.
23
              MR. AVIOLI: And I'd like the Board to remember
   his outburst in June --
24
25
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: -- corrected,
```

```
corrected --
 2
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Hey, quys --
 3
              MR. AVIOLI: Excuse me -- in June of 2017 --
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- (indiscernible).
 4
 5
              MR. AVIOLI: -- his outburst at Mr. Balch was so
 6
   bad that we complained to the CHRB and we were told this was
 7
   Dr. Arthur's last year, and Dr. Arthur is still here. And
 8
   enough is enough.
 9
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Hey, hey --
              MR. AVIOLI: He's support to represent the state.
10
11
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- Mr. Avioli --
12
              MR. AVIOLI: And we are sick and tired of his
13
    detrimental, challenging remarks to the TOC and the CTT.
14
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. I'm going to -- okay.
15
   I'm --
16
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: I have no
17
   apology.
18
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                That's it. Okay.
19
              MR. AVIOLI: You need to go do something else.
20
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Okay. Hey, guys --
21
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR: You do.
22
              MR. AVIOLI: You do.
23
                                               All right.
              EQUINE MEDICAL DIRECTOR ARTHUR:
24
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- hey, hey, hey.
25
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Time out.
```

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Look, guys, let's stop this nonsense, okay? We're all trying to do what's in the best interest of racing. Everybody knows that. Dr. Arthur has his viewpoint. You guys have your viewpoint. I agree that any kind of personalization is a bad, bad idea. This is not about personal values or personal disagreements. This is about people who disagree on the merits of the issue, so let's keep it that way. Let's stop the yelling and let's try to achieve what we all want to achieve, which is the safety and health of the horses and the people on their backs. VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WINNER: That's what this is all about. That's what we all want. It has -- we have different views of the way to go about it, that's all. And with that in mind, it seems to me that we should move on and we'll move this item back to Committee, unless there's objection by the Board, we'll move the item back to Committee. We'll hold a hearing on the 20th of July This issue will be on the agenda of the at Del Mar. Committee and you can all come with your recommended

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

MR. BALCH: Mr. Chairman, may I just state, because I didn't get a chance to answer Commissioner

with its recommendation, okay?

changes, and the Committee will then come back to the Board

Auerbach, and I think it should be on the record, CTT agrees 2 that at the Committee meeting, I will speak for the CTT, the 3 same answer that Mr. Avioli gave. So you need not have any 4 concern about any delay after that. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Okay. 6 MR. BALCH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Now, everybody agree with 7 8 Any disagreement? Okay. Thank you. 9 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: May I point out one thing that Greg said that may cause confusion? 10 The increase in the CHRB budget, you said it went 11 from \$10 million to \$14 million, there's two reasons for 12 13 that. One is the way that the budget is accounted before, 14 where the first amount of the budget was revised after, call 15 it government surtaxes were changed. So the \$10 million figure wasn't accurate. 16 17 The other was that we did pursue and were granted 18 a budget change proposal when funding for the Maddy Lab that 19 was coming from simulcast wagering, which had been 20 decreasing over a number of years, had caused the depletion 21 of reserve funds for testing with the Maddy Lab to the point 22 where the following year, we were not going to be able to do 2.3 about 30 percent of our testing with the Maddy Lab. 24 So just to point out, one is there was an 25 accounting change which made the initial figure appear low.

```
It's not real money. It was an accounting change.
                                                         And the
 2
   second was real money which was, I'd call it an emergency
 3
   measure, which took us a year-and-a-half to get it approved
 4
   by the budget -- the Department of Finance to save the work
 5
   that we do at the Maddy Lab.
 6
              I just figured that that should go into the
 7
   record.
 8
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Is there any other discussion on
 9
    any other item?
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: We have --
10
11
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Is there a motion? What?
12
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: No, we have one
13
   more, 16.
14
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Wow, gees, what did I miss?
15
              COMMISSIONER RUANO: Sixteen.
16
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Sixteen.
17
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: What's 16?
18
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: What is it? I closed my book.
19
    I'm sorry about that.
20
              Discussion item -- what is it, number 16?
21
   Discussion and action by the Board on the approval of the
22
    Fiscal Year 2018/19 Agreement providing funding support for
2.3
   the Board.
24
              I think this is important. How could I have
25
   missed this?
```

```
1
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                             Francisco?
 2
              MR. GONZALEZ: Chairman, Commissioners, this is
 3
   the tenth year we have --
 4
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER:
                                            Identify yourself,
 5
   Francisco.
 6
              MR. GONZALEZ: Francisco Gonzalez, CHRB Staff.
 7
              This is the tenth year that we developed the
 8
    formula in consultation with the industry. The budget, as
 9
    approved by the legislature for this upcoming year, 2018/19,
10
    is $14,245,000. The formula calculated rate for this
11
   upcoming year is 68 percent, very similar to the last year,
12
    exactly the same.
13
              Let's see, another important thing, all
14
   participants to the formula had agreed to the numbers.
15
   However, we're missing two signatures on the formula
    agreement, and those signatures we're missing are from Santa
16
17
   Anita and Golden Gate Fields.
18
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH:
                                       Oh, what a surprise.
19
              EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: But they had agreed
20
    to; correct?
21
              MR. GONZALEZ: They had agreed to it. We just
22
    don't have their signatures yet.
23
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                               Now they may not.
24
              VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Yeah, they may not.
25
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Okay.
```

1	MR. BRODNICK: Just that
2	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Rob?
3	MR. BRODNICK: Robert Brodnick, California Horse
4	Racing Board.
5	Just to clarify, Chairman, Commissioners, the
6	matter before you today is the approval of the formula
7	itself.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Oh, on the budget. Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah. It's just the formula
10	that we're approving. Okay. Any other discussion? Is
11	there a motion?
12	COMMISSIONER MASS: Moved.
13	CHAIRMAN WINNER: It's moved by Commissioner Mass,
14	seconded by Commissioner Ruano; yes?
15	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Sure. Sure.
16	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. How do you vote?
17	COMMISSIONER RUANO: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Auerbach?
19	VICE CHAIRMAN AUERBACH: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
21	Commissioner Choper?
22	COMMISSIONER CHOPER: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
24	COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Mass?

1	COMMISSIONER MASS: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Thank you very much. Is
3	there a motion to adjourn.
4	COMMISSIONER RUANO: So moved.
5	CHAIRMAN WINNER: So moved. Is there objection?
6	This wonderful meeting is adjourned.
7	(The meeting of the California Horse Racing Board
8	adjourned at 1:25 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
) E	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 26th day of June, 2018.

Juliana Link CER-830

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

Junr 26, 2018